

INPLASY

Stress as a seizure trigger in epilepsy and functional/dissociative seizures: A scoping review protocol

INPLASY202610060

doi: 10.37766/inplasy2026.1.0060

Received: 18 January 2026

Published: 18 January 2026

Corresponding author:

Susannah Pick

susannah.pick@kcl.ac.uk

Author Affiliation:

King's College London, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, London, UK.

Revajová, K; Pytelová, V; Všianská, P; Reuber, M; Brown, R; Pick, S.

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Support - Medical Research Council (SP).

Review Stage at time of this submission - The review has not yet started.

Conflicts of interest - None declared.

INPLASY registration number: INPLASY202610060

Amendments - This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 18 January 2026 and was last updated on 29 March 2026.

INTRODUCTION

Review question / Objective What are the commonalities and differences in FDS and epilepsy in terms of stress, specifically regarding:

- Stressful events as potential seizure triggers;
- Subjective and objective markers of stress as possible seizure triggers.

Background Epilepsy and functional/dissociative seizures (FDS) are neurological disorders that can have a significant impact on patients and families. Although associated with distinct underlying mechanisms, both conditions often involve the unpredictable occurrence of seizures, that can be related to psychological influences. In both conditions, stress can play a role in seizure occurrence.

Epilepsy involves recurrent seizures resulting from abnormal brain electrical activity¹, with varied

causes including genetic and structural factors^{2,3}. Anxiety and depression commonly co-occur with the disorder^{4,5} while stress and sleep deprivation can trigger episodes⁶. FDS present as seizure-like events related to a complex interaction of biopsychosocial predisposing, precipitating or perpetuating factors including traumatic experiences, physical illness, and altered cognitive processing⁷⁻¹¹. FDS are not caused by structural brain lesions or abnormal electrical discharges. Potential pathophysiological mechanisms include elevated autonomic reactivity^{9,12,13}, altered emotional processing¹⁴⁻¹⁹,²⁰,²¹, disrupted interoceptive awareness and dissociation^{22,23}.

There are various approaches to the definition of stress. For the purpose of this review, stress will be defined as the physiological and psychological reaction to a real or perceived threat (stressor) and the process of its management until homeostasis is restored^{24,25}. Nervous, immune, and endocrine systems are involved in physiological stress

responses²⁵, while cognitive, emotional, behavioural and perceptual changes are part of psychological stress reactions²⁶. This scoping review will focus on studies that assessed subjective and objective markers of stress in patients with FDS and epilepsy. As such, subjective stress scales (e.g., perceived stress scale, standard stress scale), mood and emotional state reports, will be used as subjective markers of stress. Neuroendocrine (e.g., cortisol levels, catecholamines), cardiovascular (e.g., heart rate variability, blood pressure, resting heart rate), immunological (e.g., pro-inflammatory cytokines levels), autonomic (e.g., skin conductance, pupil dilation, temperature regulation), and behavioural markers (e.g., COPE inventory, behavioural checklist for coping with stress) will be used as objective measures of stress. In addition to these physiological markers, studies that have reported on self- or observer reported stressful events/ actual stressors will be included as well.

A seizure trigger is any internal or external factor, stimulus, or condition that precipitates or provokes the onset of a seizure in individuals with seizure disorders²⁷. Importantly, triggers do not cause seizures themselves but rather provoke seizures in individuals who are already predisposed to having them due to an underlying seizure disorder. Triggers demonstrate individual variability. There is typically a clear temporal relationship between exposure to the trigger and seizure onset, ranging from minutes to hours, and true triggers tend to consistently provoke seizures in susceptible individuals upon repeated exposure.

Rationale This review will present a summary of findings on the role of stress (subjective and objective markers) as a potential seizure trigger in epilepsy and FDS, to clarify both the commonalities and differences in these disorders.

A preliminary search of databases of systematic reviews was conducted (MEDLINE) and no current or underway systematic reviews or scoping reviews on the topic were identified.

The existing literature examining pre-ictal stress responses is characterized by substantial heterogeneity across multiple domains. Studies vary considerably in their operational definitions of the "pre-ictal period," with timeframes ranging from minutes to hours before seizure onset. Patient populations differ markedly in seizure types, frequency, severity, and demographic characteristics, while diagnostic criteria for FDS have evolved over time, with older literature employing outdated terminology such as "pseudoseizures" or "psychogenic non-epileptic

seizures" under varying diagnostic frameworks. Therefore, a comparative analysis of stress markers in the immediate pre-ictal period between these conditions presents significant methodological challenges that preclude a formal systematic review approach.

Methodological diversity in operationalisation of stress further complicates direct comparison. Stress markers have been assessed through disparate approaches, including physiological measures (cortisol levels, heart rate variability, electrodermal activity), neurophysiological parameters (EEG patterns, autonomic function indices), and subjective self-report instruments with different validation standards and temporal resolution. These varied outcome measures lack standardization in terms of measurement protocols, reference ranges, and clinical significance thresholds.

The evidence base itself appears fragmented across multiple medical specialties, including neurology, psychiatry, and psychosomatic medicine, with many studies not primarily designed to compare epilepsy and FDS populations. This scattered literature likely contains important findings that have not been systematically identified or synthesized, making it difficult to determine the current state of knowledge or identify research gaps.

Given these challenges, a scoping review is necessary to map the existing evidence landscape before attempting systematic comparison. This approach will allow for the identification of relevant studies across diverse databases and specialties, clarification of how key constructs are operationalized in different research contexts, preliminary assessment of methodological quality and comparability across studies, and determination of whether sufficient homogeneous data exists to support meaningful meta-analysis.

METHODS

Strategy of data synthesis The proposed scoping review will be conducted in accordance with the JBI methodology for scoping reviews.

The scoping review research strategy will be as follows:

Databases: PubMed/Medline, Embase, PsychInfo, Web of Science, Cinahl

Search terms: (Epilep* OR seizur*[MeSH Terms] OR Functional seizure* OR Dissociative seizure* OR Psychogenic seizure* OR Non-epileptic seizure* OR Pseudoseizure* OR Conversion seizure* OR

Psychogenic non-epileptic seizure* OR Functional neurological disorder* OR Somatoform seizure* OR Hysterical seizure*) AND (Trigger* OR Caus* OR Generat* OR Activat* OR Precipita* OR Mechanism* OR Start* OR Initiat*) AND ((Stress physiological [MeSH Terms]) OR (Stress, psychological [MeSH Terms]))

We will perform hand searches of the reference lists of relevant articles, including previous reviews, as well as examining reference lists in grey literature such as PhD theses and other unpublished sources.

Eligibility criteria Eligibility criteria are used based on PICO framework:

Population inclusion criteria:

- Adult patients (18 years+) with epilepsy or FDS; any gender. Population not restricted to any country.

- Diagnosis of FDS made by a neurology/neuropsychiatry specialist. Diagnosis of epilepsy made by a neurology/epileptology specialist.

Population exclusion criteria:

- Samples including only patients with comorbid epilepsy and FDS.

Intervention inclusion criteria:

- Studies focused on assessing subjective and/or objective stress markers in patients with epilepsy and/or patients with FDS.

Intervention exclusion criteria:

- Studies focused on clinical features only (e.g., seizure frequency, clinical signs).

- Studies focused on the diagnostic process, management and prognosis.

- Single case studies, Book reviews, Journal notes, Journal Letters, Conference abstracts.

Comparison:

- Two populations (epilepsy and FDS patients) will be compared. Studies with just one of these groups will also be included, the comparison will be made at the level of the synthesis.

Outcomes:

- Stressful events, subjective stress and objective stress markers as potential seizure triggers (i.e., reported and/or observed to occur prior to the onset of a seizure). Studies measuring stress markers up to 24 hours prior to seizure occurrence will be included and findings on timeframes between stress markers and seizure occurrence will be presented.

Source of evidence screening and selection

Following the search, all identified citations will be collated and uploaded into a scoping review software and duplicates removed. Following a pilot test, titles and abstracts will then be screened by two or more independent reviewers for assessment against the inclusion criteria for the review.

Potentially relevant sources will be retrieved in full. The full text of selected citations will be assessed in detail against the inclusion criteria by two or more independent reviewers. Reasons for exclusion of sources of evidence at full text that do not meet the inclusion criteria will be recorded and reported in the scoping review. Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers at each stage of the selection process will be resolved through discussion, or with an additional reviewer/s.

All selected studies will undergo a quality assessment. Whilst it is not essential for a scoping review, it is important to highlight the key strengths and weaknesses in the existing literature. The quality assessment will include use of relevant CASP appraisal tools (e.g., cross-section, cohort, case-control checklists), with possible adaptations, depending on the nature of the studies identified.

Data management Data will be extracted from papers included in the scoping review by two or more independent reviewers using a data extraction tool. The data extracted will include specific details about the participants, concept, context, study methods and key findings relevant to the review question.

A draft data extraction list is provided below. The draft data extraction list will be modified and revised as necessary during the process of extracting data from each included evidence source. Modifications will be detailed in the scoping review. Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers will be resolved through discussion, or with an additional reviewer/s.

Draft data extraction list:

Author

Year

Study type

Sample size

Age distribution

Ethnic categories

Control group details

Functional seizures group: diagnostic procedures (e.g., video-electroencephalography, ictal/interictal EEG; seizure provocation; clinical assessment)

Epilepsy group: epilepsy subtype (temporal/frontal/parietal/occipital lobe epilepsy, focal aware seizures, focal unaware seizures, generalized tonic-clonic seizures)

Background and baseline clinical data

Seizure triggers in both populations (FDS, epilepsy)

Stressful events, subjective stress ratings and objective stress markers (i.e., autonomic and neuroendocrine/HPA-axis markers)

Psychological symptom scores on validated measure (FDS, epilepsy)

Descriptive and inferential statistical values for both groups and between-groups comparisons, where available.

Reporting results / Analysis of the evidence To address the anticipated heterogeneity in the evidence base, we will employ a structured three-phase data synthesis framework. Phase 1 will involve initial mapping and classification of data based on study design, population characteristics, and temporal scope of pre-ictal assessment. Phase 2 will organize findings by stress marker type, creating distinct analytical categories for objective physiological markers (e.g., cortisol, EEG patterns, heart rate variability, electrodermal activity, and other autonomic indices), and subjective self-report measures (anxiety scales, perceived stress inventories). Phase 3 will involve cross-cutting synthesis across both study types and stress marker categories, examining patterns in methodology and findings, identifying convergent and divergent results within and between epilepsy and FDS populations, and mapping methodological strengths and gaps across different study designs.

Presentation of the results The results of the search and the study inclusion process will be reported in full in the final scoping review and presented in a Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses extension for scoping review (PRISMA-ScR) flow diagram.

The data will be presented graphically or in diagrammatic or tabular form. A narrative summary will accompany the tabulated and/or charted results and will describe how the results relate to the reviews objective.

Language restriction Publications in English language.

Country(ies) involved Czech Republic, United Kingdom.

Keywords Epilepsy, functional seizure, dissociative seizure, stress, seizure trigger.

Dissemination plans Results will be disseminated through conference presentations, publication in a peer-reviewed academic journal, and through social media posts and/or press releases.

Contributions of each author

Author 1 - Karin Revajová - Author 1 will draft the manuscript, prepared the scoping review protocol and will be one of the reviewers.

Email: karin.revajova@kcl.ac.uk

Author 2 - Viktória Pytelová - The author will read and provide feedback on the manuscript and will be one of the reviewers.

Author 3 - Patrícia Všianská - The author will read and provide feedback on the manuscript and will be one of the reviewers.

Author 4 - Markus Reuber - The author contributed to the development of the selection criteria, and the risk of bias assessment strategy. The author will read, provide feedback and approve the final manuscript.

Author 5 - Richard Brown - The author contributed to the development of the selection criteria, and the risk of bias assessment strategy. The author will read, provide feedback and approve the final manuscript.

Author 6 - Susannah Pick - The author provided overall direction of the research strategy and leads the research. The author will read, edit, provide feedback and approve the final manuscript.

Email: susannah.pick@kcl.ac.uk

References

1. Fisher RS, Acevedo C, Arzimanoglou A, et al. ILAE Official Report: A practical clinical definition of epilepsy. *Epilepsia*. 2014;55(4):475-482. doi:10.1111/epi.12550
2. Scheffer IE, Berkovic S, Capovilla G, et al. ILAE classification of the epilepsies: Position paper of the ILAE Commission for Classification and Terminology. *Epilepsia*. 2017;58(4):512-521. doi:10.1111/epi.13709
3. Walsh S, Donnan J, Fortin Y, et al. A systematic review of the risk factors associated with the onset and natural progression of epilepsy. *NeuroToxicol*. 2017;61:64-77.
4. Kwon OY, Park SP. Depression and anxiety in people with epilepsy [published correction appears in *J Clin Neurol*. 2014 Oct;10(4):375]. *J Clin Neurol*. 2014;10(3):175-188. doi:10.3988/jcn.2014.10.3.175
5. Scott AJ, Sharpe L, Hunt C, Gandy M. Anxiety and depressive disorders in people with epilepsy: A meta-analysis. *Epilepsia*. 2017;58(6):973-982. doi:10.1111/epi.13769
6. Nakken KO, Solaas MH, Kjeldsen MJ, Friis ML, Pellock JM, Corey LA. Which seizure-precipitating factors do patients with epilepsy most frequently report?. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2005;6(1):85-89. doi:10.1016/j.yebeh.2004.11.003
7. American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.).
8. Harrison JE, Weber S, Jakob R, Chute CG. ICD-11: an international classification of diseases

- for the twenty-first century. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak.* 2021;21(Suppl 6):206. Published 2021 Nov 9. doi:10.1186/s12911-021-01534-6
9. Brown RJ, Reuber M. Psychological and psychiatric aspects of psychogenic non-epileptic seizures (PNES): A systematic review. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2016;45:157-182. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2016.01.003
10. Morsy SK, Aybek S, Carson A, et al. The relationship between types of life events and the onset of functional neurological (conversion) disorder in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med.* 2022;52(3):401-418. doi:10.1017/S0033291721004669
11. Reuber M. The etiology of psychogenic non-epileptic seizures: toward a biopsychosocial model. *Neurol Clin.* 2009;27(4):909-924. doi:10.1016/j.ncl.2009.06.004
12. Drane DL, Fani N, Hallett M, Khalsa SS, Perez DL, Roberts NA. A framework for understanding the pathophysiology of functional neurological disorder. *CNS Spectr.* Published online September 4, 2020. doi:10.1017/S1092852920001789
13. Pick S, Goldstein LH, Perez DL, Nicholson TR. Emotional processing in functional neurological disorder: a review, biopsychosocial model and research agenda. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 2019;90(6):704-711. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2018-319201
13. Pick S, Mellers JDC, Goldstein LH. Autonomic and subjective responsivity to emotional images in people with dissociative seizures. *J Neuropsychol.* 2018;12(2):341-355. doi:10.1111/jnp.12144
15. Pick S, Millman LM, Ward E, et al. Unravelling the influence of affective stimulation on functional neurological symptoms: a pilot experiment examining potential mechanisms. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 2024;95(5):461-470. Published 2024 Apr 12. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2023-332364
16. Roberts NA, Burleson MH, Weber DJ, et al. Emotion in psychogenic nonepileptic seizures: responses to affective pictures. *Epilepsy Behav.* 2012;24(1):107-115. doi:10.1016/j.yebeh.2012.03.018
17. Bakvis P, Roelofs K, Kuyk J, Edelbroek PM, Swinkels WA, Spinhoven P. Trauma, stress, and preconscious threat processing in patients with psychogenic nonepileptic seizures. *Epilepsia.* 2009;50(5):1001-1011. doi:10.1111/j.1528-1167.2008.01862.x
18. Pick S, Mellers JD, Goldstein LH. Explicit Facial Emotion Processing in Patients With Dissociative Seizures. *Psychosom Med.* 2016;78(7):874-885. doi:10.1097/PSY.0000000000000327
19. Pick S, Mellers JDC, Goldstein LH. Implicit attentional bias for facial emotion in dissociative seizures: Additional evidence. *Epilepsy Behav.* 2018;80:296-302. doi:10.1016/j.yebeh.2018.01.004
20. Aybek S, Nicholson TR, O'Daly O, Zelaya F, Kanaan RA, David AS. Emotion-motion interactions in conversion disorder: an fMRI study. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(4):e0123273. Published 2015 Apr 10. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0123273
21. Espay AJ, Maloney T, Vannest J, et al. Impaired emotion processing in functional (psychogenic) tremor: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Neuroimage Clin.* 2017;17:179-187. Published 2017 Oct 18. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2017.10.020
22. Koreki A, Garfinkel SN, Mula M, et al. Trait and state interoceptive abnormalities are associated with dissociation and seizure frequency in patients with functional seizures [published correction appears in *Epilepsia.* 2020 Aug;61(8):1803. doi:10.1111/epi.16658.]. *Epilepsia.* 2020;61(6):1156-1165. doi:10.1111/epi.16532
23. Pick S, Rojas-Aguiluz M, Butler M, Mulrenan H, Nicholson TR, Goldstein LH. Dissociation and interoception in functional neurological disorder. *Cogn Neuropsychiatry.* 2020;25(4):294-311. doi:10.1080/13546805.2020.1791061
24. Godoy LD, Rossignoli MT, Delfino-Pereira P, Garcia-Cairasco N, de Lima Umeoka EH. A Comprehensive Overview on Stress Neurobiology: Basic Concepts and Clinical Implications. *Front Behav Neurosci.* 2018;12. doi:10.3389/fnbeh.2018.00127
25. James KA, Stromin JI, Steenkamp N, Combrinck MI. Understanding the relationships between physiological and psychosocial stress, cortisol and cognition. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).* 2023;14:1085950. Published 2023 Mar 6. doi:10.3389/fendo.2023.1085950
26. Schneiderman N, Ironson G, Siegel SD. Stress and health: psychological, behavioral, and biological determinants. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol.* 2005;1:607-628. doi:10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.1.102803.144141
27. Lang JD, Taylor DC, Kasper BS. Stress, seizures, and epilepsy: Patient narratives. *Epilepsy Behav.* 2018;80:163-172. doi:10.1016/j.yebeh.2018.01.005