

## Artificial Intelligence-Based Diagnostic and Surgical Planning Accuracy in Pediatric Craniofacial and Maxillofacial Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

INPLASY202610033

doi: 10.37766/inplasy2026.1.0033

Received: 9 January 2026

Published: 9 January 2026

Kanwalpreet, K; Ravinder, S; Rajesh, V; Artak, H.

### Corresponding author:

Kanwalpreet Kaur

drkanwalpreet@yahoo.co.in

### Author Affiliation:

King Khalid University.

### ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

**Support** - King Khalid University.

**Review Stage at time of this submission** - Completed but not published.

**Conflicts of interest** - None declared.

**INPLASY registration number:** INPLASY202610033

**Amendments** - This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 9 January 2026 and was last updated on 9 January 2026.

## INTRODUCTION

**R**eview question / Objective To evaluate the diagnostic accuracy and geometric performance of AI-based systems used for diagnosis and virtual surgical planning in pediatric craniofacial and maxillofacial surgery.

**Rationale** AI is increasingly used in craniofacial care, there is insufficient evidence on its real-world reliability for children.

**Condition being studied** Pediatric craniofacial and maxillofacial deformities, including craniosynostosis, mandibular dysmorphology, and complex craniofacial asymmetries.

## METHODS

**Search strategy** A comprehensive search conducted in PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, and Cochrane Library from inception to November 2025 using MeSH and free-text terms related to AI and craniofacial surgery.

**Participant or population** Pediatric patients with craniofacial or maxillofacial conditions, as investigated in included studies.

**Intervention** AI-based systems for diagnostic assessment, image segmentation, reconstruction, or virtual surgical planning.

**Comparator** Expert clinical judgment, manual segmentation, or conventional diagnostic methods as the reference standard.

**Study designs to be included** Studies evaluating AI-based diagnostic or surgical planning applications, including diagnostic accuracy studies, retrospective validations, prospective feasibility studies, and computational modeling studies.

**Eligibility criteria** Studies included were those reporting quantitative diagnostic accuracy in pediatric craniofacial/maxillofacial contexts.

---

Exclusions covered non-English papers, animal research, and incomplete reports.

**Information sources** Electronic databases (PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, Cochrane Library) and manual searching of reference lists.

**Main outcome(s)** Segmentation accuracy and diagnostic accuracy.

**Additional outcome(s)** Predictive performance, clinical feasibility, osteotomy accuracy, and workflow efficiency.

**Data management** Two independent reviewers performed study selection, data extraction, and quality assessment using piloted forms. Discrepancies were resolved through discussion or a third reviewer. Data were analysed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software.

**Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis** Risk of bias was assessed using QUADAS-2 for diagnostic accuracy studies and ROBINS-I for non-randomized segmentation/surgical planning studies.

**Strategy of data synthesis** Results were combined using random-effects models, accounting for study differences. Heterogeneity and publication bias were statistically examined.

**Subgroup analysis** Planned but not performed due to limited studies and inconsistent reporting of key variables.

**Sensitivity analysis** Conducted by excluding studies with serious risk of bias to ensure robustness of pooled estimates.

**Language restriction** Included only English-language publications.

**Country(ies) involved** Saudi Arabia, United States of America, India.

**Keywords** Artificial intelligence; Craniofacial surgery; Maxillofacial surgery; Diagnostic accuracy; Image segmentation; Virtual surgical planning; Meta-analysis.

**Dissemination plans** Findings will be disseminated through peer-reviewed publication and conference presentations.

### Contributions of each author

Author 1 - Kanwalpreet Kaur - Conceptualization, methodology.

Email: drkanwalpreet@yahoo.co.in

Author 2 - Ravinder Singh - Visualization, Project implementation.

Email: rsaini@kku.edu.sa

Author 3 - Rajesh Vyas - Statistical expertise, draft writing.

Email: rvyas@kku.edu.sa

Author 4 - Artak Heboyan - Final draft writing and publications.

Email: heboyan.artak@gmail.com