INPLASY

INPLASY2025120071

doi: 10.37766/inplasy2025.12.0071 Received: 19 December 2025

Published: 19 December 2025

Corresponding author:

Liping Liu

liulipingldyy@126.com

Author Affiliation:

The First Hospital of Lanzhou University.

Clinical outcomes of peritoneal dialysis and hemodialysis in patients with end-stage renal disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Wang, J; Li, Z; Ma, Z; Sun, F; Gao, Y; Zhang, C; Liu, L.

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Support - This study was supported by the Science and Technology Department of Gansu Province, No. 20JR5RA35; the Talent Innovation and Entrepreneurship Project of Science and Technology Bureau of Cheng Guan District, Lanzhou, No. 2020RCCX0030; the Science and Technology Project of Gansu Province, RuiE Emergency Medicine Research Special Fund Support Project, No. 22JR10KA009. the Natural Science Foundation of Gansu Province Project, No. 25JRRA567.

Review Stage at time of this submission - Data analysis.

Conflicts of interest - None declared.

INPLASY registration number: INPLASY2025120071

Amendments - This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 19 December 2025 and was last updated on 19 December 2025.

INTRODUCTION

Review question / Objective This systematic review and meta-analysis aims to compare the clinical outcomes of PD versus HD in ESRD patients to inform clinical decision-making.

Condition being studied Peritoneal dialysis (PD) and hemodialysis (HD) are the two primary dialysis modalities; however, existing evidence presents conflicting conclusions regarding their comparative effects on long-term survival and complication risks.

METHODS

Search strategy

Search strategy in PubMed:

#1 ("peritoneal dialysis"[MeSH Terms] OR "peritoneal dialysis"[Title/Abstract])

#2 ("renal dialysis"[MeSH Terms] OR "hemodialysis"[Title/Abstract] OR "hemodialysis units, hospital"[MeSH Terms])

#3 #1 AND #2

#4 ("kidney failure, chronic"[MeSH Terms] OR "End-stage renal disease"[Title/Abstract] OR "End-stage kidney disease"[Title/Abstract] OR "ESRD"[Title/Abstract] OR "End Stage Renal Failure"[Title/Abstract] OR "Chronic kidney disease stage 5"[Title/Abstract])

#5 #3 AND #4

Search strategy in EmBase:

#1 'peritoneal dialysis'/exp OR 'peritoneal dialysis':ti,ab,kw

#2 'hemodialysis'/exp OR 'hemodialysis':ti,ab,kw #3 #1 AND #2

#4 'chronic kidney failure'/exp OR ('end-stage renal disease' OR 'esrd' OR 'chronic kidney disease stage 5'):ti,ab,kw #5 #3 AND #4

Search strategy in Cochrane library: #1 (peritoneal dialysis):ti,ab,kw #2 (hemodialysis OR "haemodialysis" OR "hemodialysis"):ti,ab,kw #3 #1 AND #2 #4 ("end-stage renal disease" OR "end-stage kidney disease" OR ESRD OR "end stage renal" OR "chronic kidney disease stage 5" OR "stage 5 chronic kidney disease"):ti,ab,kw #5 #3 AND #4

Search strategy in Web of Science:

#1 TS=("peritoneal dialysis")

#2 TS=("hemodialysis" OR "haemodialysis")

#3 #1 AND #2

#4 TS=("end-stage renal disease" OR "end-stage kidney disease" OR "ESRD" OR "end stage renal" OR "chronic kidney disease stage 5") #5 #3 AND #4.

Participant or population Adult patients diagnosed with ESRD.

Intervention PD.

Comparator HD.

Study designs to be included Prospective or retrospective studies.

Eligibility criteria Studies were included based on the following PICOS framework: (1) Population: adult patients diagnosed with ESRD; (2) Intervention & Comparison: PD compared directly with HD; (3) Outcomes: the primary outcome was all-cause mortality, and secondary outcomes included treatment-related complications; (4) Study design: prospective or retrospective studies directly comparing PD and HD.

Information sources PubMed, EmBase, the Cochrane Library, and Web of Science.

Main outcome(s) All-cause mortality.

Additional outcome(s) Treatment-related complications.

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS).

Strategy of data synthesis All meta-analyses employed random-effects models.

Subgroup analysis Pre-specified subgroup analyses were performed to explore potential sources of heterogeneity based on publication year, study design, geographical region, mean age, proportion of males, proportion of diabetic patients, follow-up duration, and study quality.

Sensitivity analysis Sensitivity analysis was conducted by sequentially removing each study and recalculating the pooled estimate to evaluate result robustness.

Language restriction No restriction.

Country(ies) involved China.

Keywords peritoneal dialysis; hemodialysis; endstage renal disease; systematic review; metaanalysis.

Contributions of each author

Author 1 - Jin Wang.

Email: wjinyuan0816@126.com

Author 2 - Zemin Li. Email: 87552017@gg.com Author 3 - Zhizhen Ma. Email: 37757395@qq.com Author 4 - Fena Sun.

Email: sf1612995328@163.com

Author 5 - Yujing Gao. Email: 1395963480@gg.com Author 6 - Chenle Zhang. Email: 2302287092@gg.com

Author 7 - Liping Liu.

Email: liulipingldyy@126.com