

INPLASY202570067  
doi: 10.37766/inplasy2025.7.0067  
Received: 17 July 2025  
Published: 17 July 2025

**Corresponding author:**  
Yu Zhu  
  
zhuyulio999@163.com  
  
**Author Affiliation:**  
PLA 983rd Hospital.

**Effects of extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal in implementing ultra-protective ventilation strategies for patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis**

Zhen, W; Zhang, X; Shi, Z; Zhu, Y.

**ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION**

**Support** - None.  
**Review Stage at time of this submission** - Completed but not published.  
**Conflicts of interest** - None declared.  
**INPLASY registration number:** INPLASY202570067  
**Amendments** - This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 17 July 2025 and was last updated on 17 July 2025.

**INTRODUCTION**

**Review question / Objective** This systematic review and meta-analysis aims to evaluate the role of ECCO2R in facilitating the application of ultraprotective ventilation strategies in ARDS patients.

**Condition being studied** Despite proven mortality benefits of low tidal volume ventilation in acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), overall mortality remains high (30-40%). Ultraprotective ventilation ( $\leq 4$  ml/kg predicted body weight) may further reduce ventilator-induced lung injury but risks severe hypercapnia. Extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal (ECCO2R) could enable ultraprotective ventilation by managing carbon dioxide retention, though evidence remains limited.

**METHODS**

**Search strategy** "extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal", "ECCO2R", "acute respiratory distress

syndrome", "ARDS", "ultraprotective ventilation", and "low tidal volume ventilation".

**Participant or population** Patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) meeting the Berlin Definition.

**Intervention** ECCO2R-assisted ultraprotective ventilation (tidal volume  $\leq 4$  ml/kg predicted body weight [PBW]).

**Comparator** Pretreatment.

**Study designs to be included** Randomized controlled trials (RCTs), quasi-experimental studies, cohort studies, and case-control studies.

**Eligibility criteria** Inclusion criteria comprised: (1) population: patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) meeting the Berlin Definition; (2) intervention: ECCO2R-assisted ultraprotective ventilation (tidal volume  $\leq 4$  ml/kg predicted body weight [PBW]); (3) study designs: randomized

---

controlled trials (RCTs), quasi-experimental studies, cohort studies, and case-control studies; and (4) outcomes: respiratory mechanics parameters, ventilation variables, patient-centered outcomes, and complication rates.

Author 2 - Xiaoning Zhang.  
Author 3 - Zhenhua Shi.  
Author 4 - Yu Zhu.  
Email: zhuyulio999@163.com

**Information sources** PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library.

**Main outcome(s)** Respiratory mechanics parameters, ventilation variables, patient-centered outcomes, and complication rates.

**Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis**

Methodological quality was assessed with differentiated tools: the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool for randomized controlled trials, evaluating domains including randomization process, allocation concealment, blinding, missing data management, and outcome measurement bias; the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for cohort and case-control studies, scored across three dimensions: selection of study groups, comparability of cohorts, and assessment of exposure/outcomes.

**Strategy of data synthesis** For continuous variables, the weighted mean difference (WMD) with 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) was used as the pooled effect measure. Dichotomous outcomes were analyzed using proportion with 95% CI. Given anticipated heterogeneity across studies in design characteristics, sample profiles, and intervention protocols, all meta-analyses employed a random-effects model.

**Subgroup analysis** Prespecified subgroup analyses stratified by study design, patient age, and sex were conducted, with between-subgroup differences tested for statistical significance using interaction tests.

**Sensitivity analysis** Sensitivity analysis using the leave-one-out method was performed to evaluate the robustness of pooled estimates and heterogeneity indices.

**Language restriction** Chinese and English.

**Country(ies) involved** China.

**Keywords** extracorporeal carbon dioxide removal; ultra-protective ventilation; acute respiratory distress syndrome; systematic review; meta-analysis.

**Contributions of each author**

Author 1 - Weifeng Zhen.