

INPLASY

Midwives' knowledge and roles in grief-sensitive care in perinatal settings: A scoping review

INPLASY202570045

doi: 10.37766/inplasy2025.7.0045

Received: 10 July 2025

Published: 10 July 2025

Abdul Karim, A; Garti, I; Fukuo aduwaa, G.

Corresponding author:

Alifa Abdul Karim

alifasim05@gmail.com

Author Affiliation:

Ghana diaspora Nurses Alliance.

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION**Support** - None received.**Review Stage at time of this submission** - Preliminary searches.**Conflicts of interest** - None declared.**INPLASY registration number:** INPLASY202570045**Amendments** - This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 10 July 2025 and was last updated on 10 July 2025.**INTRODUCTION**

Review question / Objective What global evidence reveals midwives' knowledge and roles in delivering grief-sensitive care following neonatal loss in perinatal settings? Population: Midwives

Concept: Grief-sensitive care OR bereavement interventions

Context: Any perinatal setting, including antenatal, labour, and birth units, postnatal wards and services, and community-based care.

Background Although pregnancy and childbirth are often associated with joyful outcomes, complications can arise unexpectedly, sometimes resulting in maternal or foetal loss. Globally, an estimated 287,000 women lose their lives because of complications associated with pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period. (WHO, 2023). In addition, approximately 2.3 million neonatal deaths and 2 million stillbirths occur annually, with the majority of stillbirths occurring during labour (intrapartum) (Liu et al., 2016). These

undesired events and losses are not only medical tragedies, but also profound emotional traumas that deeply affect women, families, and communities, resulting in acute emotional distress. Grief following a neonatal death is a deeply distressing experience for mothers and families with significant implications for mental health and well-being (Rogers et al., 2008; Herbert et al., 2022). Midwives are often the first and primary providers of care in the immediate aftermath of such losses and are uniquely positioned to provide immediate, compassionate, and grief-sensitive support (Fernández-Basanta et al., 2022; Fenwick, Downie, & Butt, 2007). Their ability to offer grief-sensitive care can influence mothers' coping processes, emotional recovery, and future healthcare engagement (Ravaldi et al., 2018). In addition, targeted care can significantly minimise the likelihood of developing chronic mental health conditions, such as depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder (Atkins et al., 2023; Cacciatore, 2013).

Therefore, it is essential that midwives possess the necessary competencies to effectively support

women through grief, helping to reduce the risk of negative psychological outcomes and promote healthy emotional adaptation (Atkins et al., 2023; Henderson & Davies, 2018). Despite the central role that midwives play, there is limited global evidence synthesising their knowledge of grief and its effects and even less understanding of their role in providing grief support (Chan et al., 2010). Understanding what midwives know about grief and their role in supporting grieving women is critical to identifying gaps in practice, training, and policy. Therefore, a scoping review is warranted to map the existing literature; identify knowledge and practice gaps; and inform future research, education, and policy development aimed at strengthening grief support within midwifery practice.

Rationale This review will map the existing literature on what midwives know about grief and their roles in grief-sensitive care after neonatal death. It identifies the types of interventions and training provided to midwives, as well as the tools and outcome measures used to evaluate such interventions (Koopmans et al., 2013). The findings will inform the design of future interventions, education programs, and policy recommendations, especially in low-resource settings (World Health Organization, 2020).

METHODS

Strategy of data synthesis This scoping review will be carried out in accordance with the methodological framework established by Arksey and O'Malley.(2005), which provides a structured approach to mapping key concepts, evidence types, and research gaps in a given field.

Identifying relevant studies:

Several electronic databases will be systematically searched to locate pertinent studies. A three-phase search approach will be utilized:

A preliminary, focused search of PubMed and CINAHL has been conducted to find pertinent keywords and indexing terms..

A full search using the identified terms across multiple databases (for example, PubMed OR CINAHL OR PsycINFO OR Scopus OR Web of Science).

Hand-searching the reference lists of included articles.

Search Terms (examples): ("midwife*" OR "midwives") AND ("neonatal death" OR "infant loss" OR "perinatal bereavement") AND ("grief care" OR "bereavement support") AND ("roles" OR knowledge)

Types of Sources: The review will consider qualitative, quantitative, or mixed-methods studies,

as well as reviews, guidelines, and grey literature (e.g., reports, training manuals). Only studies published in English or officially translated into English will be included.

Eligibility criteria Articles will be evaluated following the following eligibility criteria:

Criteria	Inclusion	Exclusion
Population	Midwives, nurse-midwives, student midwives	Non-midwives e.g. medical doctors, psychologist, nurses
Concept	Grief sensitive care or bereavement interventions This review will include studies addressing stillbirths (foetal deaths occurring after 20 weeks of pregnancy) and early neonatal deaths (within the first week of life).	Other mental health disorders not linked to perinatal care
Context	Maternity settings (antenatal, birth, postnatal)	Psychiatric inpatient settings
Types of Studies	Quantitative, qualitative, mixed methods, reviews	Opinion pieces without empirical data
Language	English	Non-English without translations
Date Range	2015–2025	

Source of evidence screening and selection All identified citations from 2000 to 2025 will be uploaded into the online review management platform Rayyan (<https://www.rayyan.ai>) for collaborative screening. Two reviewers will independently evaluate titles and abstracts to determine if they meet the inclusion criteria. Subsequently, the full-text articles will be reviewed to confirm their eligibility. Any differences in opinion will be addressed through discussion or by consulting a third reviewer. This procedure will be recorded using the PRISMA-ScR flow diagram.

Data management Data will be charted using a structured form, including author(s), year, country, setting, aim, design, population, and key outcomes. The findings will be presented in tables and a narrative summary.

Language restriction English or studies translated into English. This limitation is based on the

feasibility of and access to accurate translations. The exclusion of non-English literature may limit representation in some regions; however, including translated studies aims to capture a broad international scope.

Country(ies) involved Ghana, Australia.

Keywords Midwives; grief support; neonatal death; stillbirth; knowledge, roles.

Contributions of each author

Author 1 - Alifa Abdul karim.

Ghana Diaspora Nurses Alliance

Email: alifasim05@gmail.com

Author 2 - Isabella Garti, isabella.

Charles Darwin University

Email: Garti@cdu.edu.au

Author 3 - Gifty Aduwaa.

Ghana Diaspora Nurses Alliance

Email: fukuofokuogifty@gmail.com

References

Arksey, H., & O'malley, L. (2005). Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International journal of social research methodology*, 8(1), 19–32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1364557032000119616>

Atkins, B., Kindinger, L., Mahindra, M. P., Moatti, Z., & Siassakos, D. (2023). Stillbirth: prevention and supportive bereavement care. *BMJ medicine*, 2(1), e000262. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjmed-2022-000262>

Cacciatore, J. (2013, April). Psychological effects of stillbirth. In *Seminars in Fetal and Neonatal Medicine* (Vol. 18, No. 2, pp. 76–82). WB Saunders <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.siny.2012.09.001>

Chan, M. F., Lou, F. L., Arthur, D. G., Cao, F. L., Wu, L. H., Li, P., & Chung, L. Y. F. (2010). Investigating factors associate to nurses' attitudes towards perinatal bereavement care. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 19(15–16), 2121–2132. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2009.03136.x>

Fenwick, J., Downie, J., & Butt, J. (2007). Providing perinatal loss care: Satisfying and dissatisfying aspects for midwives. *Women and Birth*, 20(4), 153–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wombi.2007.08.003>

Fernández-Basanta, S., Coronado, C., Bondas, T., Llorente-García, H., & Movilla-Fernández, M. J. (2022). Unravelling the grief of involuntary pregnancy loss: A meta-ethnography of midwives' and nurses' emotional experiences. *Scandinavian journal of caring sciences*, 36(3), 599–613. <https://doi.org/10.1111/scs.13028>

Hendson, L., & Davies, D. (2018). Supporting and communicating with families experiencing a

perinatal loss. *Paediatrics & Child Health*, 23(8), 549–549. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pch/pxy134>

Herbert, D., Young, K., Pietrusińska, M., & MacBeth, A. (2022). The mental health impact of perinatal loss: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 297, 118–129.

Koopmans, L., Wilson, T., Cacciatore, J., & Flenady, V. (2013). Support for mothers, fathers and families after perinatal death. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2013(6), CD000452. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000452.pub3>

Liu, L., Oza, S., Hogan, D., Chu, Y., Perin, J., Zhu, J., ... & Black, R. E. (2016). Global, regional, and national causes of under-5 mortality in 2000–15: an updated systematic analysis with implications for the Sustainable Development Goals. *The Lancet*, 388(10063), 3027–3035. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)31593-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31593-8)

Ravaldi, C., Levi, M., Angeli, E., Romeo, G., Biffino, M., Bonaiuti, R., & Vannacci, A. (2018). Stillbirth and perinatal care: Are professionals trained to address parents' needs?. *Midwifery*, 64, 53–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2018.05.008>

Rogers, C. H., Floyd, F. J., Seltzer, M. M., Greenberg, J., & Hong, J. (2008). Long-term effects of the death of a child on parents' adjustment in midlife. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 22(2), 203–211. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0893-3200.22.2.203>

Watson, J. (2008). *Nursing: The philosophy and science of caring* (rev. ed.). University Press of Colorado.

World Health Organization. (2023). Trends in maternal mortality 2000 to 2020: estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group and UNDESA/Population Division. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240068759>

World Health Organisation. (2020). Standards for improving quality of maternal and newborn care in health facilities. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240011502>.