

Enhancing Accessibility in Education Through Brain-Computer Interfaces: A Scoping Review on Inclusive Learning Approaches

INPLASY202570019  
doi: 10.37766/inplasy2025.7.0019  
Received: 4 July 2025  
Published: 5 July 2025

Abdulmawjood, M; Oyibo, K.

**Corresponding author:**  
Kiemute Oyibo

koyibo@yorku.ca

**Author Affiliation:**  
York University.

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

**Support** - None.

**Review Stage at time of this submission** - Completed but not published.

**Conflicts of interest** - None declared.

**INPLASY registration number:** INPLASY202570019

**Amendments** - This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 5 July 2025 and was last updated on 5 July 2025.

INTRODUCTION

**Review question / Objective** This scoping review aims to identify and synthesize existing research on the application of Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) to enhance accessibility in educational contexts. The review explores key themes, technologies, benefits, and challenges associated with using BCIs to support inclusive learning for students with physical and communication disabilities.

**Rationale** Ensuring accessibility in education is crucial for equal learning opportunities. While traditional assistive technologies provide some support, they often require manual input. BCIs offer the potential for direct brain-to-computer communication, enabling hands-free control and interaction. This review consolidates current evidence to highlight advancements, identify gaps, and guide future research on integrating BCIs into inclusive educational environments.

**Condition being studied** Accessibility challenges in education for students with physical, motor, or communication disabilities.

METHODS

**Search strategy** We conducted a comprehensive search in ACM Digital Library, Scopus, and IEEE Xplore. Search terms included combinations of "accessibilit\*" OR "disabilit\*", "Brain-Computer Interface" OR "bci" OR "Brain Machine Interface" OR "bmi", and "education" OR "learning". Boolean operators and truncations ensured broad capture. The search included peer-reviewed journal and conference papers without date restrictions and limited to English-language publications. Snowballing of references from included studies was also performed.

**Participant or population** Students and adult learners with physical, motor, or communication disabilities who may benefit from BCI-enabled educational tools and platforms.

---

**Intervention** Use of Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) to facilitate educational accessibility and inclusive learning.

**Comparator** Not applicable (scoping review, no comparative intervention).

**Study designs to be included** Empirical studies including qualitative, quantitative, and mixed-method designs that investigate BCI applications in educational settings.

**Eligibility criteria** Inclusion criteria: The article must be a journal or conference paper, and must be written in English. Studies must focus on Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) applications aimed at improving educational accessibility and learning outcomes for individuals with disabilities.

Exclusion criteria: Articles that are not peer-reviewed, studies focusing solely on clinical, entertainment, or non-educational applications, and articles in languages other than English.

**Information sources** ACM Digital Library, Scopus, IEEE Xplore, and reference lists of included studies (snowball method).

**Main outcome(s)** Identification of key themes, benefits, challenges, and technological advancements in BCI applications to improve accessibility and engagement in education.

**Data management** Records were managed using reference management software for deduplication and screening. Data extraction used standardized forms; synthesis was thematic.

**Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis** The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) was used to evaluate methodological quality across different study designs.

**Strategy of data synthesis** Thematic synthesis was conducted to consolidate findings across studies. Key outcomes were categorized by application type, technological approach, and identified challenges.

**Subgroup analysis** Not applicable.

**Sensitivity analysis** Not applicable.

**Language restriction** English only.

**Country(ies) involved** Canada.

**Keywords** Brain-Computer Interface, BCI; accessibility, inclusive education; assistive technology, EEG, neurotechnology.

**Contributions of each author**

Author 1 - Mohammed Abdulmawjood.

Email: mabdulmawjood@ieee.org

Author 2 - Kiemute Oyibo.