INPLASY

INPLASY202560114

doi: 10.37766/inplasy2025.6.0114

Received: 28 June 2025

Published: 28 June 2025

Corresponding author:

João Vitor dos S. Canellas^{1,2}

joao.canellas@unb.br canellas@inplasy.com

Author Affiliation:

School of Health Sciences,
Department of Dentistry,
University of Brasília, Brasília,
Brazil

2 - INPLASY - Research and Development, International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols, Middletown, DE, United States. Protocol - A comparative analysis of systematic reviews registered in PROSPERO, INPLASY, OSF, Research Registry, and protocols.io: a meta-research study

Canellas, JVdS; Ritto, FG.

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Support - INPLASY Inc. will provide funding to publish the results of this meta-research study in an open-access journal (free and unlimited access).

Review Stage at time of this submission - Preliminary searches.

Conflicts of interest - João V. d S. Canellas founded the INPLASY registry and owns shares in INPLASY, Inc. (a US company) and INPLASY Ltd. (a Brazilian company). The remaining authors declare that the research will be conducted without commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

INPLASY registration number: INPLASY202560114

Amendments - This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 28 June 2025 and was last updated on 28 June 2025.

INTRODUCTION

Study aim This study aims to synthesize information from systematic reviews published in peer-reviewed journals and registered in five different registries (PROSPERO, INPLASY, OSF, Research Registry, protocols.io) to pinpoint their similarities and differences. Additionally, other evidence synthesis studies will be summarized for the same purposes. We will address the following focused questions:

- How many peer-reviewed articles registered on each platform are published in indexed journals between June 2020 and June 2025?
- 2) What percentage of published studies on each registry updated the protocol status as 'completed and published'?
- 3) What types of evidence synthesis studies on each registry are most published?

- 4) What was the publication rate on each registry in the period analyzed?
- 5) What was the mean period of time from registration to publication on each registry?
- 6) Do studies registered in different platforms differ in terms of the impact factor of the journals where the studies were published? We will compare the following variables: journal impact factor; journal category; publisher; country of the corresponding author; source of funding; publisher peer-reviewed protocol; method used to cite the protocol; protocol status updated after the article publication; and the time interval between study registration and final publication.

Background Meta-research study is defined as the study of research itself: its methods, reporting, reproducibility, evaluation, and incentives. 1 Usually,

meta-research include a systematic analysis of research in a given field or topic. An evidence synthesis study is a research method that allows researchers to combine all relevant information to answer a research question. It can help establish an evidence base for best-practice guidance, identify gaps in knowledge, or inform policymakers and practitioners.2 Prospective registration of systematic reviews and other evidence synthesis studies helps reduce potential bias, avoid unintended duplication of studies, promote transparency3. Pipper et al.4 reported five databases where systematic reviews can be registered. They listed five options where systematic review and other evidence syntheses protocols can be registered: PROSPERO5, Open Science Framework Registries (OSF)6, INPLASY7, Research Registry⁸, and protocols.io⁹. Although this study identified and listed different database characteristics and features, the number of peerreviewed articles published in indexed scholarly journals referring to protocols registered in each database remains unknown. The objective of the present methodological study is to synthesize information from a large sample of peer-reviewed articles registered in different registries and published between June 2020 and June 2025 to analyze how they differ from those registered in PROSPERO. To this end, we will compare articles registered in INPLASY, OSF, Research Registry, and protocols.io9 with a large random sample of articles registered in PROSPERO during the same period to pinpoint their similarities and differences.

METHODS

Search strategy We will conduct a systematic search in MEDLINE via Pubmed, Embase, and Web of Science to retrieve published evidence synthesis studies registered in PROSPERO, INPLASY, OSF, Research Registry, and protocols.io. To retrieve such manuscripts, we will be tailored to each database's syntax and subject headings to ensure reproducibility. We will use the following search strategy for each database:

PUBMED INPLASY inplasy* AND (2020/6/1:2025/6/1[pdat])

("systematic review"[Title/Abstract] OR "scoping review"[Title/Abstract] OR "mapping review"[Title/Abstract] OR "apping review"[Title/Abstract] OR "overview of reviews"[Title/Abstract] OR "umbrella review"[Title/Abstract] OR "meta analys*"[Title/Abstract] OR "narrative review"[Title/Abstract] OR "literature review"[Title/Abstract]) AND (2020/6/1:2025/6/16[pdat])

PROSPERO

CRD42* AND (2020/6/1:2025/6/1[pdat])

AND

("systematic review"[Title/Abstract] OR "scoping review"[Title/Abstract] OR "mapping review"[Title/Abstract] OR "rapid review"[Title/Abstract] OR "overview of reviews"[Title/Abstract] OR "umbrella review"[Title/Abstract] OR "meta analys*"[Title/Abstract] OR "harrative review"[Title/Abstract] OR "literature review"[Title/Abstract]) AND (2020/6/1:2025/6/1[pdat])

OSF

("osf io*"[All Fields]) AND (2020/6/1:2025/6/1[pdat]) AND

("systematic review"[Title/Abstract] OR "scoping review"[Title/Abstract] OR "mapping review"[Title/Abstract] OR "rapid review"[Title/Abstract] OR "overview of reviews"[Title/Abstract] OR "umbrella review"[Title/Abstract] OR "meta analys*"[Title/Abstract] OR "literature review"[Title/Abstract] OR "literature review"[Title/Abstract]) AND (2020/6/1:2025/6/1[pdat])

Research Registry

("research registry"[All Fields] OR "researchregistry*"[All Fields] OR "reviewregistry*"[All Fields]) AND (2020/6/1:2025/6/1[pdat])

AND

("systematic review"[Title/Abstract] OR "scoping review"[Title/Abstract] OR "mapping review"[Title/Abstract] OR "rapid review"[Title/Abstract] OR "overview of reviews"[Title/Abstract] OR "umbrella review"[Title/Abstract] OR "meta analys*"[Title/Abstract] OR "literature review"[Title/Abstract] OR "literature review"[Title/Abstract]) AND (2020/6/1:2025/6/1[pdat])

Protocols.io

("integer*"[All Fields] OR "protocols.io"[All Fields]) AND (2020/6/1:2025/6/1[pdat])

AND

("systematic review"[Title/Abstract] OR "scoping review"[Title/Abstract] OR "mapping review"[Title/Abstract] OR "rapid review"[Title/Abstract] OR "overview of reviews"[Title/Abstract] OR "umbrella review"[Title/Abstract] OR "meta analys*"[Title/Abstract] OR "literature review"[Title/Abstract] OR "literature review"[Title/Abstract]) AND (2020/6/1:2025/6/1[pdat])

EMBASE

INPLASY

(inplasy* AND [2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01]/pd)

('systematic review':ti,ab,kw OR 'scoping review':ti,ab,kw OR 'mapping review':ti,ab,kw OR 'rapid review':ti,ab,kw OR 'overview of reviews':ti,ab,kw OR 'umbrella review':ti,ab,kw OR 'meta analys*':ti,ab,kw OR 'narrative

review':ti,ab,kw OR 'literature review':ti,ab,kw) AND [2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01]/pd PROSPERO

(CRD42* AND [2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01]/pd) AND

('systematic review':ti,ab,kw OR 'scoping review':ti,ab,kw OR 'mapping review':ti,ab,kw OR 'rapid review':ti,ab,kw OR 'overview of reviews':ti,ab,kw OR 'umbrella review':ti,ab,kw OR 'meta analys*':ti,ab,kw OR 'narrative review':ti,ab,kw OR 'literature review':ti,ab,kw) AND [2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01]/pd OSF

(osf.io* AND [2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01]/pd) AND

('systematic review':ti,ab,kw OR 'scoping review':ti,ab,kw OR 'mapping review':ti,ab,kw OR 'rapid review':ti,ab,kw OR 'overview of reviews':ti,ab,kw OR 'umbrella review':ti,ab,kw OR 'meta analys*':ti,ab,kw OR 'narrative review':ti,ab,kw OR 'literature review':ti,ab,kw) AND [2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01]/pd

Research Registry

'research registry' AND [2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01]/pd OR (researchregistry* AND [2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01]/pd OR 'reviewregistry*' AND [2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01]/pd)

AND

('systematic review':ti,ab,kw OR 'scoping review':ti,ab,kw OR 'mapping review':ti,ab,kw OR 'rapid review':ti,ab,kw OR 'overview of reviews':ti,ab,kw OR 'umbrella review':ti,ab,kw OR 'meta analys*':ti,ab,kw OR 'narrative review':ti,ab,kw OR 'literature review':ti,ab,kw) AND [2020-06-01 to 2025-06-16]/pd

Protocols.io

'protocols.io' AND [2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01]/pd OR (integer* AND [2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01]/pd)

('systematic review':ti,ab,kw OR 'scoping review':ti,ab,kw OR 'mapping review':ti,ab,kw OR 'rapid review':ti,ab,kw OR 'overview of reviews':ti,ab,kw OR 'umbrella review':ti,ab,kw OR 'meta analys*':ti,ab,kw OR 'narrative review':ti,ab,kw OR 'literature review':ti,ab,kw) AND [2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01]/pd

WEB OF SCIENCE

INPLASY

ALL=(INPLASY*) Publication date 2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01

AND

of reviews")) OR TI=("umbrella review")) OR AB=("umbrella review")) OR TI=(meta-analys*)) OR AB=(meta-analys*)) OR TI=("narrative review")) OR AB=("narrative review")) OR TI=("literature review")) OR AB=("literature review") Publication date 2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01

PROSPERO

ALL=(crd42*) Publication date 2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01

AND

OSF

ALL=(osf.io*) Publication date 2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01

AND

Research Registry

((ALL=("research registry")) OR ALL=(researchregistry*)) OR ALL=(reviewregistry*) Publication date 2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01 AND

protocols.io

ALL=(protocols.io) OR ALL=(integer*) Publication date 2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01

AND

 review")) OR AB=("scoping review")) OR TI=("mapping review")) OR AB=("mapping review")) OR TI=("overview of reviews")) OR AB=("overview of reviews")) OR TI=("umbrella review")) OR AB=("umbrella review")) OR TI=(meta-analys*)) OR AB=(meta-analys*)) OR TI=("narrative review")) OR AB=("narrative review")) OR AB=("literature review") Publication date 2020-06-01 to 2025-06-01.

Records identified through other sources - We will include the list of published studies accessed on June 2025 https://inplasy.com/published-articles/. A manual page-by-page examination will be performed in the following websites:

journals.sagepub.com

frontiersin.org

onlinelibrary.wiley.com

lww.com

nature.com

sciencedirect.com

tandonline.com

www.mdpi.com

We will export retrieved records into EndNote 2025 reference management software. We will remove duplicates automatically via software, and manually if any duplicates remained.

Eligibility criteria Inclusion criteria: We will include in this meta-research study the following evidence synthesis studies: systematic reviews, scoping reviews, mapping review, umbrella review, metaanalysis, and literature review. We will select manuscripts published or ahead of print published in scholarly journals indexed in Medline, Embase, and Web of Science that mention PROSPERO, INPLASY, OSF, Research Registry, or protocols.io registration. No language restrictions will be applied in the search. We expect to find a large number of studies registered in PROSPERO, which makes it impossible to synthesize all information. Therefore, we will limit the number of studies using a random method to select a sample from the list of studies in each database. We will include a maximum of 1,8k published studies registered in each registry since June 2020 to June 2025.

Exclusion criteria: We will exclude conference proceedings abstracts, preprints, or expert opinion/policy reviews from the list of select studies. Cochrane systematic reviews will not be included in this analysis. Duplicated studies and studies using the same registration number will be excluded. If we found multiple articles about the same protocol, we included only the most comprehensive study. Peer-reviewed protocols will be excluded from data extraction and only full-text articles containing results will be included.

Data extraction The extraction of information from the selected full-text articles will be carried out independently by two authors, and any discordance will be solved by discussion. We will collected information from the articles, the referred protocols, and from the five listed. The manuscript extraction form will contain the following information:

Title of the article

Type of evidence synthesis study reported in the title or abstract (e.g. systematic review with meta-analysis, scoping review, umbrella review, etc.) Journal where the article was published (including Journal Impact Factor and the publisher) We will organize the journals by categories and groups. Groups are used to organize the 254 categories of JCR into broad discipline areas. Journals not included in the Journal Citation Report will be described as "not indexed to JCR". We will collect the last available impact factor for each journal. Day, month and year of publication described in the article or indexed by endnote automatically

Country of the corresponding author

Name of the register

Registration ID

Source of funding (Yes or No)

Published peer-reviewed version - Is there a published peer-reviewed version of the protocol? (Yes or No) If yes, what is the journal?

Hyperlink to the registration report (The hyperlink, website address (URL) or DOI to the full registration report was provided?) (Yes or No).

We will access the protocols referred in the manuscripts to collect the following information:

Protocol status (Is the status updated?)

Registration date (We will use this information to calculate the time from registration to publication). Finally, we will access INPLASY database to identify the number of protocols registered from June 2020 to June 2025. We will use this data to calculate the publication rate in the period analyzed.

We will categorize all data and tabulate them descriptively to pinpoint similarities and differences between the registries.

Strategy of data synthesis / Statistical analysis

The selection process will be presented using a PRISMA flowchart. The characteristics of the included studies will be reported through descriptive statistics and in narrative form using tables and/or figures. A narrative synthesis will be used to summarize the results. We will analyze data with descriptive statistics and will be presented as frequencies and percentages. We will include a maximum of 1,8k articles registered in each registry since June 2020. if the number of articles exceeds 1.8 thousand in each base, we will

select a random sample from the list of articles using a random table. The articles will organized numerically using an alphanumeric code by a research not involved in the data extraction process. Additionally, we will compare quantitatively the collected numerical characteristics between evidence synthesis studies registered in different registries versus PROSPERO, calculating the odds ratio (OR) for dichotomous variables with a 95% confidence interval for each characteristic, and the standardized mean difference (SMD) for continuous variables with a 95% confidence interval for each characteristic.

Country(ies) involved Brazil and United States.

Other relevant information Availability of data - All data analyzed during this meta-research study will be included in the published article and its supplementary materials. Our study will include only analysis of published manuscripts; therefore, approval of this study protocol by a research ethics committee will not be necessary.

Keywords PROSPERO; INPLASY; Open Science Framework; Research Registry; protocols.io; protocol; registration; meta-research; evidence synthesis; systematic Review.

Dissemination plans The findings of this systematic review will be disseminated through different approaches to reach a broad audience of researchers, clinicians, and stakeholders. It will be submitted for publication in a high-impact, international peer-reviewed journal. Additionally, the results will be presented at relevant national and international scientific conferences to facilitate discussion and collaboration within the scientific community.

Contributions of each author

The team has not yet defined the contribution of each author. We expect to include new authors in the data extraction phase. Students from Master of Science and Extension Project of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery at University of Brasilia will be included. The supervision will be performed by the following authors:

Author 1 - João Vitor Canellas. Email: joao.canellas@unb.com Author 2 - Fabio Gamboa Ritto. Email: Fabio-Ritto@ouhsc.edu

References:

1 - Ioannidis JPA (2018) Meta-research: Why research on research matters. PLoS Biol 16(3):

- e2005468. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2005468
- 2 Gough, D., Davies, P., Jamtvedt, G. *et al.* Evidence Synthesis International (ESI): Position Statement. *Syst Rev* 9, 155 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-020-01415-5
- 3 Stewart L, Moher D, Shekelle P. Why prospective registration of systematic reviews makes sense. Syst Rev. 2012 Feb 9;1:7. doi: 10.1186/2046-4053-1-7. PMID: 22588008; PMCID: PMC3369816.
- 4 Pieper, D., Rombey, T. Where to prospectively register a systematic review. *Syst Rev* 11, 8 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-021-01877-1
- 5 Booth A, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Moher D, Petticrew M, Stewart L. An international registry of systematic-review protocols. Lancet. 2011;377(9760):108-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(10)60903-8.
- 6 Nosek BA, Ebersole CR, DeHaven AC, Mellor DT. The preregistration revolution. PNAS. 2018;115(11):2600-6. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708274114.
- 7 Canellas JVdS, Ritto FG, Rodolico A, Aguglia E, Fernandes GVdO, Figueredo CMdS and Vettore MV (2023) The international platform of registered systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (INPLASY) at 3 years: an analysis of 4,658 registered protocols on inplasy.com, platform features, and website statistics. Front. Res. Metr. Anal. 8:1135853. doi: 10.3389/frma.2023.1135853
- 8 Agha R, Rosin D. The Research Registry answering the call to register every research study involving human participants. Int J Surg. 2015;16(Pt A):113–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2015.03.001
- 9 Teytelman L, Stoliartchouk A, Kindler L, Hurwitz BL. Protocols.io: virtual communities for protocol development and discussion. PLoS Biol. 2016;14(8):e1002538. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al. pbio. 1002538.