

INPLASY PROTOCOL

To cite: Yang et al. Effects of Blood Flow Restriction Training on Cardiopulmonary Function and Body Composition: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis. Inplasy protocol 202340052. doi: 10.37766/inplasy2023.4.0052

Received: 17 April 2023

Published: 17 April 2023

Corresponding author:
Kun Yang

gs64155@student.upm.edu.my

Author Affiliation:
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Support: No.

Review Stage at time of this submission: Preliminary searches.

Conflicts of interest:
None declared.

Effects of Blood Flow Restriction Training on Cardiopulmonary Function and Body Composition: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis

Yang, K¹; Tengku, FTK²; Chee, CS³; Johan, AK⁴; Li, R⁵; Qian, SW⁶.

Review question / Objective: The purpose of this meta-analysis was to elucidate the differences in the effects of blood flow restriction training versus non-blood flow restriction training on the cardiopulmonary function and body composition in athletes and healthy active population. The chosen study method was the RCT test.

Eligibility criteria: P, athletes or healthy active population, male or female, any sports activity, no age restriction; I, BFR training; C, Two-group or multi-group trials; O, At least one measure related to cardiopulmonary function or body composition; S, RCT.

INPLASY registration number: This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 17 April 2023 and was last updated on 14 October 2024 (registration number INPLASY202340052).

and healthy active population. The chosen study method was the RCT test.

Condition being studied: Blood flow restriction training is a training method based on a combination of resistance training and specific compression devices.

INTRODUCTION

Review question / Objective: The purpose of this meta-analysis was to elucidate the differences in the effects of blood flow restriction training versus non-blood flow restriction training on the cardiopulmonary function and body composition in athletes

The subjects of the study were healthy athletes.

METHODS

Participant or population: Healthy athletes or healthy active population.

Intervention: Blood flow restriction training.

Comparator: BFR training versus non-BFR training.

Study designs to be included: RCT.

Eligibility criteria: P, athletes or healthy active population, male or female, any sports activity, no age restriction; I, BFR training; C, Two-group or multi-group trials; O, At least one measure related to cardiopulmonary function or body composition; S, RCT.

Information sources: PubMed, Web of Science, EBSCOhost, and SCOUPS.

Main outcome(s): Cardiopulmonary function or body composition.

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis: Physical Therapy Evidence Database (PEDro) scale.

Strategy of data synthesis: The meta-analysis was performed using RevMan version 5.4 software. High and low heterogeneity were matched to different effect models: low, fixed; high, random.

Subgroup analysis: The age, height, weight, training duration and frequency, protocol, cuff pressure.

Sensitivity analysis: This was tested by removing the study on a case-by-case basis, with the change in effect size reflecting the sensitivity of the study.

Language restriction: English.

Country(ies) involved: China.

Keywords: Blood flow restriction training; cardiopulmonary function; body

composition; maximal oxygen consumption; maximal heart rate.

Contributions of each author:

Author 1 - Kun Yang.

Email: gs64155@student.upm.edu.my

Author 2 - Tengku Fadilah Tengku Kamalden.

Author 3 - Chee Chen Soon.

Author 4 - Johan bin Abdul Kahar.

Author 5 - Rui Li.

Author 6 - Shaowen Qian.