

# INPLASY

## Different surgical treatments for endometrial cancer: A Network Meta-analysis

INPLASY202420046

doi: 10.37766/inplasy2024.2.0046

Received: 09 February 2024

Published: 09 February 2024

Wu, BJ<sup>1</sup>; Dong, SY<sup>2</sup>.

### Corresponding author:

wu beijiao

1037276434@qq.com

### Author Affiliation:

Jiaxing Maternal and Child Health Hospital.

### ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

**Support** - No.

**Review Stage at time of this submission** - Preliminary searches.

**Conflicts of interest** - None declared.

**INPLASY registration number:** INPLASY202420046

**Amendments** - This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 09 February 2024 and was last updated on 09 February 2024.

### INTRODUCTION

**Review question / Objective** Objective: To compare the effectiveness of various surgical treatment methods in endometrial cancer using mesh meta-analysis technology. Method: A search was conducted on CNKI, VIP, Wanfang, SinoMed, PubMed, and Cochrane Library databases to include clinical controlled trials of various surgical methods for the treatment of endometrial cancer. Screen the literature based on relevant inclusion and exclusion criteria, extract relevant information, and evaluate the quality of the included literature. Use Stata14.0 software for literature data analysis and plotting.

**Condition being studied** Test equipment; personnel.

### METHODS

**Participant or population** Approximately 6000+patients with endometrial cancer.

**Intervention** Open surgery, single port laparoscopic surgery, porous da Vinci surgery, single port da Vinci surgery.

**Comparator** Traditional laparoscopic surgical treatment.

**Study designs to be included** RCT.

**Eligibility criteria** All patients were diagnosed with endometrial cancer by pathology.

---

**Information sources** CNKI, Wanfang, VIP, CBM, Embase, Pumped, Web of Science, Cochrane Library.

**Main outcome(s)** Average surgical time, estimated intraoperative bleeding, length of hospital stay, average postoperative exhaust time, intraoperative and postoperative complications (conversion to open surgery, intraoperative blood transfusion, etc.), postoperative VAS score, number of pelvic lymph nodes, number of para aortic lymph nodes, disease-free survival rate, overall survival rate, recurrence rate, mortality rate.

**Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis** Cochrane tool.

**Strategy of data synthesis** Direct meta-analysis was conducted on each evaluation indicator using the Stata16.0MP meta-analysis package, and the evaluation of inter literature heterogeneity was included. In this study, the I<sup>2</sup> test was used to complete the evaluation. When  $I^2 \leq 50\%$ , we believe that there is no heterogeneity, and both fixed effects and random effects models can be selected for merging effect sizes; When  $I^2 > 50\%$ , we believe there is heterogeneity and further analysis of the sources of heterogeneity is needed.

**Subgroup analysis** If the evidence is sufficient, we will conduct subgroup analysis to determine the differences between normal and overweight, early and late endometrial cancer, etc.

**Sensitivity analysis** Perform sensitivity analysis using Stata software, reflecting the sensitivity of a particular article by examining the change in effect size after deleting it.

**Country(ies) involved** China.

**Keywords** Endometrial cancer; Surgical treatment methods; Randomized controlled trials; Mesh Meta Analysis.

#### **Contributions of each author**

Author 1 - wu beijiao.

Email: 1037276434@qq.com

Author 2 - Dong Shenyi.