International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-analysis Protocols

INPLASY

INPLASY202410117 doi: 10.37766/inplasy2024.1.0117

Received: 28 January 2024

Published: 29 January 2024

Corresponding author: Lili Tang

18709464096@163.com

Author Affiliation:

Liaoning University Of Traditional Chinese Medicine.

Integrating meta-analysis with network pharmacology to explore the therapeutic effect and mechanism of Tongxie Yaofang in the treatment of ulcerative colitis

Tang, LL¹; Liu, YD²; Tao, HW³: Feng, WZ⁴: Ren, C⁵; Li, QH⁶; Wang, XY⁷.

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Support - National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.82074449).

Review Stage at time of this submission - Completed but not published.

Conflicts of interest - None declared.

INPLASY registration number: INPLASY202410117

Amendments - This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 29 January 2024 and was last updated on 29 January 2024.

INTRODUCTION

eview question / Objective This study aimed to integrate meta-analysis with network pharmacology analyses to determine the efficacy and mechanism of TXYF in treating UC.

Rationale The efficacy and safety of TXYF in treating UC were explored through meta-analysis, and the mechanism by which TXYF treats UC was explored through network pharmacology technology.

Condition being studied Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic, recurrent intestinal inflammatory disease characterized clinically by mucous, bloody stools, and abdominal pain.Tongxie Yaofang (TXYF), a classic traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) prescription, is mostly used for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome, and its efficacy in treating UC is still controversial. There is relatively

little research on the mechanism and pharmacodynamics of TXYF in treating UC, and the underlying mechanism is not yet clear. This study aimed to integrate meta-analysis with network pharmacology analyses to determine the efficacy and mechanism of TXYF in treating UC.

METHODS

Participant or population The study subjects were adult patients who met the diagnostic criteria for UC.

Intervention In the intervention, the control group received only Western medicine (WM), and the experimental group received either TXYF or TXYF combined with WM.

Comparator Western medicine.

Study designs to be included Randomized controlled trial.

Eligibility criteria 1) The study subjects were adult patients who met the diagnostic criteria for UC. 2) The research type was a randomized controlled trial (RCT). 3) In the intervention, the control group received only Western medicine (WM), and the experimental group received either TXYF or TXYF combined with WM. 4) Studies included at least one of the following relevant safety or efficacy indicators: Efficacy indicators included the total effective rate, auxiliary examination results, TCM symptom score, Geboes index, Baron score, Mayo score, Sutherland index, and anxiety score and depression score. The safety indicators included adverse reactions and the recurrence rate.

Information sources Two researchers independently searched the Chinese and English databases, including CNKI, CBM, VIP, WanFang Data, Web of Science, Pubmed, Cochrane, Embase, and Medline. The search period ranged from the establishment of the database until December 2023.

Main outcome(s) The total effective rate.

Additional outcome(s) Efficacy indicators included auxiliary examination results, TCM symptom score, Geboes index, Baron score, Mayo score, Sutherland index, anxiety score and depression score. The safety indicators included adverse reactions and the recurrence rate.

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis Cochrane Bias Risk Assessment Tool.

Strategy of data synthesis RevMan 5.3 software was used for the data analysis. When the heterogeneity criteria were $l_2 \leq 50\%$ and $P \geq 0.1$, there was no significant heterogeneity between RCTs, and a fixed effects (FE) analysis was used. In contrast, if the heterogeneity criteria were I2 > 50% and P < 0.1, then significant heterogeneity was indicated, and a random effects (RE) analysis was used. For binary data, the risk ratio combined effect size was used, while for the continuous variables, the mean difference combined effect size was used (if there was inconsistency in units between studies for continuous variables, the standardized mean difference was used for representation). The final result was represented by a 95% confidence interval. When more than 9 studies were included, a funnel plot was generated to evaluate publication bias.

Subgroup analysis According to the treatment of TXYF or TXYF combined with WM, subgroup analysis was carried out.

Sensitivity analysis When there is significant heterogeneity in the main outcome, sensitivity analysis is conducted to determine the source of heterogeneity.

Country(ies) involved China.

Keywords Traditional Chinese medicine; ulcerative colitis; meta-analysis; network pharmacology.

Contributions of each author

Author 1 - Lili Tang. Email: 18709464096@163.com Author 2 - Yuedong Liu. Author 3 - Hongwu Tao. Author 4 - Wenzhe Feng. Author 5 - Cong Ren.

- Author 6 Qihang Li.
- Author 7 Xiangyi Wang.