# **INPLASY**

INPLASY202390108

doi: 10.37766/inplasy2023.9.0108 Received: 30 September 2023

Published: 30 September 2023

# **Corresponding author:**

Jian Wei

jianweipuwaike@163.com

#### **Author Affiliation:**

Zigong Fourth People's Hospital.

# Meta-analysis of the efficacy and safety assessment of metronidazole in post-hemorrhoidectomy pain

Li, X1; Li, YJ2; Wang, DC3; Wei, J4.

#### **ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION**

Support - None.

Review Stage at time of this submission - Completed but not published.

Conflicts of interest - None declared.

**INPLASY registration number:** INPLASY202390108

Amendments - This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 30 September 2023 and was last updated on 30 September 2023.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Review question / Objective This study aims to systematically assess the effectiveness and safety of metronidazole compared to a placebo in the management of postoperative pain following hemorrhoidectomy. The goal is to provide evidence-based recommendations for pain management strategies.

Condition being studied We are investigating the management of postoperative pain after hemorrhoidectomy, a common surgical procedure for hemorrhoids. The research focuses on improving the management of pain experienced by patients after this surgery.

## **METHODS**

**Participant or population** The study involves patients who have undergone hemorrhoidectomy.

**Intervention** In the metronidazole group, patients receive metronidazole.

**Comparator** The control group is administered a placebo treatment.

Study designs to be included We will include randomized controlled trials (RCTs) in our analysis.

**Eligibility criteria** Patients of any gender undergoing hemorrhoidectomy.

**Information sources** Our data sources include The Cochrane Library, Embase, and PubMed databases.

Main outcome(s) Pain scores on the first, second, seventh, and fourteenth days post-operation, additional analgesia requirements, overall complication rates, and time taken to return to normal activities.

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis Two authors will independently assess the quality of included studies using Cochrane's bias risk assessment tool. Disagreements will be resolved through discussion or by involving a third author.

Strategy of data synthesis We will perform a meta-analysis using RevMan 5.3 software. Risk ratios (RR) and mean differences (MD) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) will be used as effect measures. Heterogeneity will be assessed, and a fixed-effect or random-effects model will be selected based on the presence and magnitude of heterogeneity. Sensitivity analysis and publication bias assessment will be conducted when applicable.

**Subgroup analysis** Subgroup analysis will be performed for studies with significant heterogeneity.

**Sensitivity analysis** Sensitivity analysis will be carried out by iteratively removing one study at a time to evaluate its impact on the overall effect.

Country(ies) involved China.

**Keywords** metronidazole; hemorrhoidectomy; pain; meta-analysis.

## **Contributions of each author**

Author 1 - Xi Li.

Author 2 - Yue-Juan Li.

Author 3 - Deng-Chao Wang.

Author 4 - Jian Wei.