INPLASY

INPLASY202380120

doi: 10.37766/inplasy2023.8.0120

Received: 29 August 2023

Published: 29 August 2023

Corresponding author:

Vlad Dumitru Brata

brata_vlad@yahoo.com

Author Affiliation:

"Iuliu Hatieganu" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Cluj-Napoca, Romania.

Artificial Intelligence for the Automatic Diagnosis of Gastritis: A Systematic Review

Turtoi, DC¹; Brata, VD²; Ismaiel, A³; Dumitrascu, DI⁴; Militaru, V⁵; Munteanu, MA⁶; Botan, A⁷; Toc, DA⁸; Duse, TA⁹; Popa, SL¹⁰.

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Support - This study received no external funding.

Review Stage at time of this submission - Completed but not published.

Conflicts of interest - None declared.

INPLASY registration number: INPLASY202380120

Amendments - This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 29 August 2023 and was last updated on 29 August 2023.

INTRODUCTION

Review question / Objective The purpose of this systematic review is to offer an extensive overview of actual AI applications and analyze the precision of these systems to make an automatic diagnosis of gastritis.

Condition being studied Gastritis is a gastric inflammatory disease associated with the injury of the mucosa. It is a histological entity, not a clinical one, given that most people with microscopic inflammation of the stomach are asymptomatic. Diagnosis of gastritis is made on clinical, endoscopic, and histological criteria. Patients with gastritis may present dyspeptic syndrome, anemic syndrome, or UGI bleeding, or they may be asymptomatic. UGI endoscopy, mandatory for diagnosing gastritis, alongside the biopsy, offering the histopathological report, is the gold standard for diagnosing the inflammation of the gastric mucosa.

METHODS

Search strategy This systematic review was carried out according to the PRISMA guidelines for systematic reviews. PubMed, Cochrane Library, EMBASE, and WILEY databases were thoroughly screened for significant publications concerning the use of AI in the automatic diagnosis of gastritis. The relevant search of words included: gastritis AND (artificial intelligence OR deep learning OR neural network OR machine learning OR computeraided diagnosis OR automated diagnosis OR automated gastric endoscopy OR digital pathology OR automated ultrasound OR automated X-Ray). Exclusion criteria consisted of: studies written in another language than English, abstracts, animal studies, pediatric studies, case reports, editorials, letters to the editor, and conference publications.

Participant or population Patients with different types of gastritis in various clinical settings.

Intervention Not applicable.

Comparator Not applicable.

Study designs to be included Observational studies.

Eligibility criteria Publications analyzing the use of Al in the automatic diagnosis of gastritis were included.

Information sources PubMed, Cochrane Library, EMBASE, and WILEY databases.

Main outcome(s) The outcomes were represented by the accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of the included algorithms in diagnosing various types of gastritis.

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) was employed to assess the risk of bias for observational studies. The quality assessment was independently conducted by two reviewers, and any discrepancies were resolved through consensus.

Strategy of data synthesis Due to the anticipated heterogeneity among the included studies, a meta-analysis was not deemed appropriate. Therefore, a narrative synthesis approach was used to summarize the findings, and relevant data were presented in tabular format.

We conducted a qualitative synthesis of all included studies.

Subgroup analysis Subgroup analysis was conducted in accordance with the available data from the extracted data from the included studies, such as type of gastritis, additional diagnoses, symptomatology, and sex.

Sensitivity analysis No sensitivity analysis was conducted in our systematic review.

Language restriction Only studies published in English have been included in our systematic review.

Country(ies) involved Romania.

Keywords Gastritis, artificial intelligence, gastroenterology, automatic diagnosis.

Dissemination plans Publication in a peer-reviewed journal.

Contributions of each author

Author 1 - Daria Claudia Turtoi - Contributed to the conceptualization, writing- original draft preparation, writing- review and editing.

Email: urtoidariaclaudia@gmail.com

Author 2 - Vlad Dumitru Brata - Contributed to the methodology, writing- review and editing.

Email: brata vlad@yahoo.com

Author 3 - Abdulrahman Ismaiel - Contributed to the methodology., writing- original draft preparation.

Email: abdulrahman.ismaiel@yahoo.com

Author 4 - Dinu Iuliu Dumitrascu - Contributed to the writing- original draft preparation.

Email: d.dumitrascu@yahoo.com

Author 5 - Valentin Militaru - Contributed to the writing- original draft preparation.

Email: valentin.militaru@umfcluj.ro

Author 6 - Mihai Alexandru Munteanu - Contributed to the writing- review and editing.

Email: mihaimunteanual@yahoo.com

Author 7 - Alexandru Botan - Contributed to the writing- original draft preparation.

Email: botan.alexandru@elearn.umfcluj.ro

Author 8 - Dan Alexandru Toc - Contributed to the writing- review and editing.

Email: toc.dan.alexandru@elearn.umfcluj.ro

Author 9 - Traian Adrian Duse - Contributed to the writing- review and editing.

Email: adrianduse@yahoo.com

Author 10 - Stefan Lucian Popa - Contributed to the conceptualization, methodology, writingoriginal draft preparation, and supervision.

Email: popa.stefan@umfcluj.ro