

INPLASY PROTOCOL

To cite: Yang et al. Effects of Blood Flow Restriction Training on Physiological Parameters Among Athletes: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis. Inplasy protocol 202340052. doi: 10.37766/inplasy2023.4.0052

Received: 17 April 2023

Published: 17 April 2023

Corresponding author:
Kun Yang

gs64155@student.upm.edu.my

Author Affiliation:
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Support: No.

Review Stage at time of this submission: Preliminary searches.

Conflicts of interest:
None declared.

Effects of Blood Flow Restriction Training on Physiological Parameters Among Athletes: A Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis

Yang, K¹; Tengku, FTK²; Chee, CS³; Johan, AK⁴; Li, R⁵; Qian, SW⁶.

Review question / Objective: The purpose of this meta-analysis was to elucidate the differences in the effects of blood flow restriction training versus non-blood flow restriction training on the physiological parameters of the athletes. The chosen study method was the RCT test.

Eligibility criteria: ,Athletes, male or female, any sports activity,no age restriction; I,BFR training(BFR combined with other forms of training); C,Two-group or multi-group trials; O,At least one measure related to physiological parameters (e.g., body mass, VO₂max, heart rate, body composition); S,RCT.

INPLASY registration number: This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 17 April 2023 and was last updated on 17 April 2023 (registration number INPLASY202340052).

parameters of the athletes. The chosen study method was the RCT test.

Condition being studied: Blood flow restriction training is a training method based on a combination of resistance training and specific compression devices.

INTRODUCTION

Review question / Objective: The purpose of this meta-analysis was to elucidate the differences in the effects of blood flow restriction training versus non-blood flow restriction training on the physiological

The subjects of the study were healthy athletes.

METHODS

Participant or population: healthy athletes.

Intervention: Blood flow restriction training.

Comparator: No blood flow restriction training.

Study designs to be included: RCT.

Eligibility criteria: P, Athletes, male or female, any sports activity, no age restriction; I, BFR training (BFR combined with other forms of training); C, Two-group or multi-group trials; O, At least one measure related to physiological parameters (e.g., body mass, VO₂max, heart rate, body composition); S, RCT.

Information sources: PubMed, Web of Science, EBSCOhost, and SCOUPS.

Main outcome(s): Body mass, VO₂max, heart rate, body composition.

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis: Physical Therapy Evidence Database (PEDro) scale.

Strategy of data synthesis: The meta-analysis was performed using RevMan version 5.4 software. High and low heterogeneity were matched to different effect models: low, fixed; high, random.

Subgroup analysis: The age (<22 vs. ≥22 years), height (<176 vs. ≥176 cm), and weight (3 sessions/week), length (≤4 vs. >4 weeks), pressure times (130 mmHg), and size (≤11 vs. >11 cm), and pressure status (continuous vs. intermittent).

Sensitivity analysis: This was tested by removing the study on a case-by-case basis, with the change in effect size reflecting the sensitivity of the study.

Language restriction: English.

Country(ies) involved: China.

Keywords: Blood flow restriction training; physiological parameters; maximal oxygen consumption; cross sectional area; muscle thickness; maximal heart rate; thigh girth.

Contributions of each author:

Author 1 - Kun Yang.

Email: gs64155@student.upm.edu.my

Author 2 - Tengku Fadilah Tengku Kamalden.

Author 3 - Chee Chen Soon.

Author 4 - Johan bin Abdul Kahar.

Author 5 - Rui Li.

Author 6 - Shaowen Qian.