INPLASY PROTOCOL

To cite: Rogalska et al. Transoral robotic surgery in the management of submandibular gland sialoliths: a systematic review. Inplasy protocol 202330068. doi: 10.37766/inplasy2023.3.0068

Received: 18 March 2023

Published: 18 March 2023

Corresponding author: Marta Rogalska

rogalska_marta@wp.pl

Author Affiliation:

Faculty of Medicine, Medical University of Warsaw, 02-091 Warsaw, Poland.

Support: N/A.

Review Stage at time of this submission: Completed but not published.

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

INTRODUCTION

Review question / Objective: The purpose of the present study was to systematically review the literature to determine the efficacy and safety of transoral robotic surgery (TORS) in the management of SMG sialolithiasis.

Condition being studied: Submandibular gland sialolithiasis.

Transoral robotic surgery in the management of submandibular gland sialoliths: a systematic review.

Rogalska, M¹; Antkowiak, L²; Kasperczuk, A³; Scierski, W⁴; Misiolek, M⁵.

Review question / Objective: The purpose of the present study was to systematically review the literature to determine the efficacy and safety of transoral robotic surgery (TORS) in the management of SMG sialolithiasis.

Patient, Participant, or population: Patients with submandibular gland sialolithiasis who underwent robotassisted sialolithotomy.

Information sources: The PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane databases. Additionally, the reference lists in all preselected articles were screened for further relevant papers.

INPLASY registration number: This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 18 March 2023 and was last updated on 18 March 2023 (registration number INPLASY202330068).

METHODS

Participant or population: Patients with submandibular gland sialolithiasis who underwent robot-assisted sialolithotomy.

Intervention: The evaluation of sialolith location(s), sialolith size(s), used robotic surgical system, variation of TORS-assisted sialolithotomy, procedure success rate, procedure duration, intraoperative complications, postoperative complications and time until symptom resolution.

Comparator: N/A.

Study designs to be included: Nonrandomized prospective and retrospective studies.

Eligibility criteria: English language full-text papers describing the application of robotassisted sialolithotomy (RAS) in the removal of the submandibular gland sialoliths.

Information sources: The PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane databases. Additionally, the reference lists in all preselected articles were screened for further relevant papers.

Main outcome(s): To determine the efficacy and safety of robot-assisted sialolithotomy in patients with submandibular gland sialolithiasis.

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis: N/A.

Strategy of data synthesis: Qualitative data were analyzed and presented in the descriptive manner. In order to calculate the weighted averages of all available quantitative parameters, weights were selected proportionally to the sample size.

Subgroup analysis: Patients who underwent a specific variation of TORSassisted sialolithotomy (i.e. (1) TORS followed by sialendoscopy (TS); (2) sialendoscopy followed by TORS and sialendoscopy (STS); (3) sialendoscopy followed by TORS only (ST); TORS without sialendoscopy (T)).

Sensitivity analysis: N/A.

Country(ies) involved: Poland.

Keywords: sialolithotomy; sialendoscopy; robot-assisted; sialolithiasis; submandibular stones; lingual nerve.

Contributions of each author:

Author 1 - Marta Rogalska. Email: rogalska_marta@wp.pl Author 2 - Lukasz Antkowiak. Email: lukaszantkowiak7@gmail.com Author 3 - Anna Kasperczuk. Email: a.kasperczuk@pb.edu.pl Author 4 - Wojciech Scierski. Email: wojciech.scierski@sum.edu.pl Author 5 - Maciej Misiolek. Email: maciej.misiolek@sum.edu.pl