

INPLASY PROTOCOL

To cite: Teng et al. Computed tomography-based predictive model for the probability of preoperative lymph node metastasis in gastric cancer: a meta-analysis. Inplasy protocol 202320091. doi: 10.37766/inplasy2023.2.0091

Received: 21 February 2023

Published: 21 February 2023

Corresponding author:
Fei Teng

tengfei10568@126.com

Author Affiliation:
Ningbo First Hospital.

Support: Ningbo City Technical Program Fund (202003N4204).

Review Stage at time of this submission: Preliminary searches.

Conflicts of interest:
None declared.

INTRODUCTION

Review question / Objective: To investigate the role of computed tomography-based predictive model for the preoperative prediction of lymph node metastasis in gastric cancer.

Condition being studied: Lymph node (LN) metastasis is one of the main prognostic factors for gastric cancer, and it plays a

Computed tomography-based predictive model for the probability of preoperative lymph node metastasis in gastric cancer: a meta-analysis

Teng, F¹; Wu, A²; Xian, Y³; Lin, J⁴; Han, R⁵; Yin, Y⁶.

Review question / Objective: To investigate the role of computed tomography-based predictive model for the preoperative prediction of lymph node metastasis in gastric cancer.

Eligibility criteria: Inclusion criteria for this meta-analysis were studies investigating the diagnostic performance of CT-based model in predicting LN metastasis in gastric cancer subjects. The participants clinically suspected of gastric cancer and diagnosed with gastric cancer by postoperative pathology were recruited; the diagnosis of positive lymph node (N+) was based on pathology after surgery; true-positive, false-positive, true-negative, and false-negative results of CT were available or allowed for calculation from original articles

INPLASY registration number: This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 21 February 2023 and was last updated on 21 February 2023 (registration number INPLASY202320091).

pivotal role in the selection of appropriate candidates for neoadjuvant chemotherapy therapy. Therefore, accurate prediction of LN metastasis in gastric cancer is crucial for clinical decision-making and the improvement of prognosis.

METHODS

Search strategy: (((computed tomography) OR (CT)) AND (gastric cancer)) AND (lymph

node metastasis)) AND (((prediction) OR (predictive)) OR (predictor)) OR (assessment)).

Participant or population: Patients with gastric cancer.

Intervention: Patients with LN metastasis.

Comparator: Patients without LN metastasis.

Study designs to be included: Diagnostic accuracy articles.

Eligibility criteria: Inclusion criteria for this meta-analysis were studies investigating the diagnostic performance of CT-based model in predicting LN metastasis in gastric cancer subjects. The participants clinically suspected of gastric cancer and diagnosed with gastric cancer by postoperative pathology were recruited; the diagnosis of positive lymph node (N+) was based on pathology after surgery; true-positive, false-positive, true-negative, and false-negative results of CT were available or allowed for calculation from original articles

Information sources: PubMed, Web of science, Cochrane library

Main outcome(s): Sensitivity, Specificity, and ROC.

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis: The risk of bias was assessed by the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS-2) tool.

Strategy of data synthesis: The sensitivity and specificity were calculated for each study on a per-patient based analysis. A summary receiver operating characteristics (sROC) curve was constructed for recruited studies and area under ROC curve (AUC) was calculated to estimate the overall accuracy. A preferred test has an AUC close to 1, while a poor test has an AUC close to 0.5.

Subgroup analysis: Yes.

Sensitivity analysis: No.

Language restriction: English.

Country(ies) involved: China.

Keywords: Gastric cancer, Lymph node, CT, Prediction.

Contributions of each author:

Author 1 - Fei Teng.

Author 2 - Anlei Wu.

Author 3 - Yutao Xian.

Author 4 - Jia Lin.

Author 5 - Rui Han.

Author 6 - Yongfang Yin.