

INPLASY PROTOCOL

To cite: Meng et al.
Conservative or liberal oxygen
therapy in acutely ill adults of
cardiovascular disease: a
systematic review and meta-
analysis. Inplasy protocol
202310008. doi:
10.37766/inplasy2023.1.0008

Received: 03 January 2023

Published: 03 January 2023

Corresponding authors:
Yu Geng, Tong Gao and
Peng Liu.

gya02137@btch.edu.cn;
gta03615@btch.edu.cn;
wanguyisu@163.com.

Author Affiliation:
Department of
Cardiology, Beijing Tsinghua
Changgung Hospital, School
of Clinical Medicine, Tsinghua
University, Beijing, China.

Support: None.

**Review Stage at time of this
submission:** Preliminary
searches.

Conflicts of interest:
None declared.

INTRODUCTION

Review question / Objective: We sought to
conduct a systematic review and meta-
analysis to evaluate the efficacy and safety

Conservative or liberal oxygen therapy in acutely ill adults of cardiovascular disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Meng, C^{1*}; Sun, J^{2*}; Wang, YT^{3*}; Wang, SF⁴; Bai, Y⁵; Miao, GB⁶;
Gao, T⁷; Geng, Y⁸; Liu, P⁹.

Review question / Objective: We sought to conduct a
systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the efficacy
and safety in acutely ill adults of cardiovascular disease
treated with liberal versus conservative oxygen therapy.
Eligibility criteria: (1) Patients with acutely ill adults of
cardiovascular disease. (2) Treat with liberal or conservative
oxygen therapy. (3) Outcomes Indicators: Death from any
cause/ In-hospital cardiac arrest/ In-hospital cardiac shock/
In-hospital recurrent myocardial infarction/ MACEs/ cTnT /
Median peak/ Infarct size on CMR*Median proportion of LV
mass /SpO₂ at ED \geq 94%/ neuron-specific enolase, including
one.

INPLASY registration number: This protocol was registered with
the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and
Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 03 January 2023 and was
last updated on 13 January 2023 (registration number
INPLASY202310008).

*Chang Meng, Jing Sun and Yintang Wang have contributed equally to
this work.

in acutely ill adults of cardiovascular
disease treated with liberal versus
conservative oxygen therapy.

Condition being studied: Cardiac arrest/ In-hospital cardiac shock/ In-hospital recurrent myocardial infarction/ MACEs/ cTnT /Median peak/ Infarct size on CMR*Median proportion of LV mass /SpO₂ at ED \geq 94%/ neuron-specific enolase.

METHODS

Participant or population: Patients with acutely ill adults of cardiovascular disease.

Intervention: Liberal versus conservative oxygen therapy.

Comparator: Liberal versus conservative oxygen therapy.

Study designs to be included: The search strategy was RCT.

Eligibility criteria: (1) Patients with acutely ill adults of cardiovascular disease. (2) Treat with liberal or conservative oxygen therapy. (3) Outcomes Indicators: Death from any cause/ In-hospital cardiac arrest/ In-hospital cardiac shock/ In-hospital recurrent myocardial infarction/ MACEs/ cTnT /Median peak/ Infarct size on CMR*Median proportion of LV mass /SpO₂ at ED \geq 94%/ neuron-specific enolase, including one.

Information sources: We will search the references in the included trials and personal files. We will request advice from experts in the field. In addition, we will search associated articles from meetings, and contacted the authors of included trials, if need.

Main outcome(s): Death from any cause.

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis: We evaluated the methodological quality of the individual studies using the Cochrane risk of bias tool for RCTs.

Strategy of data synthesis: We will consider using the number of participants and deaths between different groups for analysis. Mortality may also be reported.

Subgroup analysis: Subgroup analysis was performed on myocardial infarction and cardiac arrest.

Sensitivity analysis: We conducted sensitivity analyses to investigate the influence of a single study on the overall pooled estimate of each predefined outcome.

Country(ies) involved: China.

Keywords: liberal oxygen therapy, conservative oxygen therapy, myocardial infarction, cardiac arrest.

Contributions of each author:

Author 1 - Chang Meng.

Author 2 - Jing Sun.

Author 3 - Yintang Wang.

Author 4 - Shufang Wang.

Author 5 - Ying Bai.

Author 6 - Guobin Miao.

Author 7 - Tong Gao.

Author 8 - Yu Geng.

Author 9 - Peng Liu.