

INPLASY PROTOCOL

Efficacy of Osteoking in the treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Meta-analysis

To cite: Luo et al. Efficacy of Osteoking in the treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Meta-analysis. Inplasy protocol 2022100024. doi: 10.37766/inplasy2022.10.0024

Luo, XL¹; Fang, CR²; Bai, T³; Zhao, H⁴; Bai, N⁵; Na, Q⁶.

Received: 05 October 2022

Published: 05 October 2022

Corresponding author:

Xinlei Luo

963160870@qq.com

Author Affiliation:

The Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University.

Support: YNWR-MY-2020-033.

Review Stage at time of this submission: Data analysis.

Conflicts of interest:

None declared.

Review question / Objective: Efficacy of Osteoking in the treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation.

Condition being studied: Lumbar disc herniation.

Information sources: Embase, PubMed, Cochrane Library, Wanfang Data, CNKI , VIP.

INPLASY registration number: This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 05 October 2022 and was last updated on 05 October 2022 (registration number INPLASY2022100024).

INTRODUCTION

Review question / Objective: Efficacy of Osteoking in the treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation.

Condition being studied: Lumbar disc herniation.

METHODS

Participant or population: Patient with lumbar disc herniation.

Intervention: Osteoking.

Comparator: No permanent Osteoking was used.

Study designs to be included: Randomized controlled trial.

Eligibility criteria: Lumbar disc herniation.

Information sources: Embase, PubMed, Cochrane Library, Wanfang Data, CNKI , VIP.

Main outcome(s): the total effective rate、VAS score 、 ODI score 、 JOA score、 TNF-a 、 IL-1 β .

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis: Cochrane 5.1.0 bias risk assessment criteria.

Strategy of data synthesis: RevMan 5.4 software was used to analyze the data. If $P \geq 0.1$ and $I^2 \leq 50\%$, the fixed effects model was used. Otherwise, random effect model was used for analysis. RevMan 5.4 software was used to analyze the data. If $P \geq 0.1$ and $I^2 \leq 50\%$, the fixed effects model was used. Otherwise, random effect model was used for analysis.

Subgroup analysis: No.

Sensitivity analysis: Yes.

Country(ies) involved: China.

Keywords: Osteoking; lumbar disc herniation; Meta-analysis.

Contributions of each author:

Author 1 - xinlei luo.

Email: 963160870@qq.com

Author 2 - chunrui fang.

Email: 2957284647@qq.com

Author 3 - tao bai.

Author 4 - hui zhao.

Author 5 - na bai.

Author 6 - qiang na.

Email: 13987775908@163.com