INPLASY PROTOCOL

To cite: Nair et al. Erector spinae plane block for postoperative analgesia in cardiac surgeries- a systematic review and meta-analysis. Inplasy protocol 202270105. doi:

10.37766/inplasy2022.7.0105

Received: 24 July 2022

Published: 24 July 2022

Corresponding author: Abhijit Nair

abhijitnair95@gmail.com

Author Affiliation: Ibra Hospital, Oman

Support: None.

Review Stage at time of this submission: Preliminary searches.

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

Erector spinae plane block for postoperative analgesia in cardiac surgeries- a systematic review and meta-analysis

Nair, AS1; Saxena, P2.

Review question / Objective: Does ultrasound-guided erector spinae plane block provide good quality, opioid-sparing analgesia in patients undergoing cardiac surgeries when compared to no block or a sham block?

Condition being studied: Patients undergoing cardiothoracic surgeries who receive ultrasound-guided erector spine plane block versus no block or a sham block will be studied. Information sources: Sources that will be used: electronic databases, contact with authors if necessary, trial registers, conference abstracts.

INPLASY registration number: This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 24 July 2022 and was last updated on 24 July 2022 (registration number INPLASY202270105).

INTRODUCTION

Review question / Objective: Does ultrasound-guided erector spinae plane block provide good quality, opioid-sparing analgesia in patients undergoing cardiac surgeries when compared to no block or a sham block?

Condition being studied: Patients undergoing cardiothoracic surgeries who receive ultrasound-guided erector spine

plane block versus no block or a sham block will be studied.

METHODS

Search strategy: We will search: PubMed/Medline, Embase, Cochrane Library.

Participant or population: Patients undergoing elective cardiovascular surgeries like coronary artery bypass grafting, valve replacement surgeries.

Intervention: Ultrasound-guided erector spinae plane block, either single shot or continuous.

Comparator: The control group will be patients in whom no block was administered or a sham block with saline was given.

Study designs to be included: Randomizedcontrolled trials, Analytical retrospective studies.

Eligibility criteria: Patients undergoing cardiovascular surgeries.

Information sources: Sources that will be used: electronic databases, contact with authors if necessary, trial registers, conference abstracts.

Main outcome(s): Postoperative opioid consumption and pain scores.

Additional outcome(s): Intraoperative opioid consumption, time to first rescue analgesia, sedation score, length of stay in ICH and hospital, complications due to block, nausea/vomiting, sedation.

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis:

The Revised Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (RoB 2) will be used to access the methodologic quality and risk of bias of the included trials.

Strategy of data synthesis: We will use the Mantel-Haenszel method to analyse dichotomous variables, and the risk ratio with the corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) for the effect. The mean difference (MD) with the corresponding 95% CI was calculated for units-unified continuous outcomes. All the data were analysed with a random effect model. All statistical analyses will be performed using Review Manager version 5.4.1 (Cochrane Collaboration, Software Update, Oxford, UK), and a P value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. (Review Manager (RevMan) [Computer program]. Version 5.4.1, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2020.)

Subgroup analysis: If necessary, a subgroup analysis will be done using the Mantel-Haenszel method.

Sensitivity analysis: The sensitivity analysis will be done by analysing the types of outcomes, publication bias (with a funnel plot), heterogeneity, variations in interventions.

Language: The language will be restricted to English.

Country(ies) involved: Oman, India.

Keywords: Erector spinae plane block, cardiothoracic surgeries, coronary artery bypass grafting, valve replacement surgery, opioid consumption, pain scores.

Dissemination plans: Once we complete the review, we wish to compile it and submit it to review in a peer reviewed journal.

Contributions of each author:

Author 1 - Abhijit Nair.

Email: abhijitnair95@gmail.com

Author 2 - Pravin Saxena.

Email: pravinsaxena@rediffmail.com