INPLASY PROTOCOL

To cite: Kim et al. Effect of the PRECEDE-PROCEED Model on Health Programs; A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Inplasy protocol 202250017. doi: 10.37766/inplasy2022.5.0017

Received: 04 May 2022

Published: 04 May 2022

Corresponding author: Kyung Hee Lee

kyungheelee@yuhs.ac

Author Affiliation: Yonsei University.

Support: 2017 Faculty-Student Research.

Review Stage at time of this submission: Completed but not published.

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

Effect of the PRECEDE-PROCEED Model on Health Programs; A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Kim, J¹; Jang, J²; Kim, B³; Lee, KH⁴.

Review question / Objective: This study aimed to systemically analyze previous studies that used the PRECEDE-PROCEED model and performed to examine the effectiveness and usefulness of health promotion intervention across different settings and populations.

Condition being studied: The eligibility criteria for studies were as follows: (a) studies containing participants of all ages, healthy people, and people with diseases in community and hospital settings; (b) intervention studies using the PRECEDE-PROCEED model, excluding those that used only the PRECEDE model and observational studies; and (c) studies containing health-related outcomes, with behavior, cognitive and physiological health, and quality of life as primary outcomes, as well as other predisposing factors for effective intervention based on the PRECEDE-PROCEED model.

INPLASY registration number: This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 04 May 2022 and was last updated on 04 May 2022 (registration number INPLASY202250017).

INTRODUCTION

Review question / Objective: This study aimed to systemically analyze previous studies that used the PRECEDE-PROCEED model and performed to examine the effectiveness and usefulness of health promotion intervention across different settings and populations. Condition being studied: The eligibility criteria for studies were as follows: (a) studies containing participants of all ages, healthy people, and people with diseases in community and hospital settings; (b) intervention studies using the PRECEDE-PROCEED model, excluding those that used only the PRECEDE model and observational studies; and (c) studies containing health-related outcomes, with behavior, cognitive and physiological health, and quality of life as primary outcomes, as well as other predisposing factors for effective intervention based on the PRECEDE-PROCEED model.

METHODS

Search strategy: The search term combinations we used were [Precede-Proceed AND Modell OR [Precede-Proceed Model OR Precede Proceed] OR [Precede-Proceed AND health promotion] OR [Precede-Proceed AND community health planning] OR [Precede-Proceed AND population-based planning] OR [Precede-Proceed AND health program] OR [Precede-Proceed AND program evaluation] OR [Precede-Proceed AND intervention]. The databases used to search for the published articles were PubMed, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), PsycINFO, and Scopus; the databases used to search for the gray literature were ProQuest. Regional Information Sharing Systems (RISS), and OpenGrey.

Participant or population: Participants of all ages, healthy people, and people with diseases in community and hospital settings.

Intervention: Intervention using the PRECEDE-PROCEED model.

Comparator: Usual care.

Study designs to be included: Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) or quasi-experimental.

Eligibility criteria: (a) studies containing participants of all ages, healthy people, and people with diseases in community and hospital settings; (b) intervention studies using the PRECEDE-PROCEED model, excluding those that used only the PRECEDE model and observational studies; and (c) studies containing healthrelated outcomes, with behavior, cognitive and physiological health, and quality of life as primary outcomes, as well as other predisposing factors for effective intervention based on the PRECEDE-PROCEED model.

Information sources: The databases used to search for the published articles were PubMed, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), PsycINFO, and Scopus; the databases used to search for the gray literature were ProQuest, Regional Information Sharing Systems (RISS), and OpenGrey.

Main outcome(s): Health-related outcomes, with behavior, cognitive and physiological health, and quality of life as primary outcomes.

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis: Quality assessment was performed using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT).

Strategy of data synthesis: We summarized study characteristics, including publication year, country, study design, participants' characteristics, and application of the PRECEDE-PROCEED model. For the metaanalysis, we entered the data into the Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) version 3.3 program based on each study design and outcome measurement (number of participants, mean, standard deviation, and p-value). The outcomes of the study were addressed using standardized mean difference (SMD) and 95% CI, as well as the p-values of SMDs. To reduce the effect of statistical heterogeneity on the evaluation, we used a random-effects model. Heterogeneity among studies was assessed using I2 to determine the heterogeneity of studies.

Subgroup analysis: Subgroup analysis was not conducted.

Sensitivity analysis: This study used a funnel plot to visualize publication bias and asymmetry.

Language: English.

Country(ies) involved: South Korea.

Keywords: Education; Health behavior; Health promotion; Knowledge; PRECEDE-PROCEED model.

Contributions of each author:

Author 1 - Junghee Kim - Author 1 prepared the first draft and contributed to study conception and design. Author 1 performed literature screening, data extraction, and quality assessment. Email: kjh1290@yonsei.ac.kr Author 2 - Jaeun Jang. Author 3 - Bora Kim. Author 4 - Kyung Hee Lee. Email: kyungheelee@yuhs.ac