

# INPLASY PROTOCOL

To cite: Wang et al. A systematic review and network meta-analysis of single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with breast cancer risk. *Inplasy protocol* 202220010. doi: 10.37766/inplasy2022.2.0010

Received: 06 February 2022

Published: 06 February 2022

**Corresponding author:**  
Ying yuan Wang

wyy15596828515@163.com

**Author Affiliation:**  
Xiangya Medical College,  
Central South University,  
Changsha, Hunan.

**Support:** Xiangya Medical  
College.

**Review Stage at time of this  
submission:** Data analysis.

**Conflicts of interest:**  
None declared.

## A systematic review and network meta-analysis of single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with breast cancer risk

Wang, YY<sup>1</sup>; Chen, ZC<sup>2</sup>; Zhang, LX<sup>3</sup>; Chen, SY<sup>4</sup>.

**Review question / Objective:** P: Breast cancer patient; I: Single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with breast cancer risk; C: Healthy person; O: By comparing the proportion of SNP mutations in the tumor group and the control group, the effect of BREAST cancer risk-related SNP was investigated; S: Case-control study.

**Condition being studied:** Breast cancer (BC) is one of the most common cancers among women, and its morbidity and mortality have continued to increase worldwide in recent years, reflecting the strong invasiveness and metastasis characteristics of this cancer. BC is a complex disease that involves a sequence of genetic, epigenetic, and phenotypic changes. Polymorphisms of genes involved in multiple biological pathways have been identified as potential risks of BC. These genetic polymorphisms further lead to differences in disease susceptibility and severity among individuals. The development of accurate molecular diagnoses and biological indicators of prognosis are crucial for individualized and precise treatment of BC patients.

**INPLASY registration number:** This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 06 February 2022 and was last updated on 06 February 2022 (registration number INPLASY202220010).

risk-related SNP was investigated; S: Case-control study.

**Condition being studied:** Breast cancer (BC) is one of the most common cancers among women, and its morbidity and mortality have continued to increase worldwide in recent years, reflecting the strong invasiveness and metastasis

### INTRODUCTION

**Review question / Objective:** P: Breast cancer patient; I: Single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with breast cancer risk; C: Healthy person; O: By comparing the proportion of SNP mutations in the tumor group and the control group, the effect of BREAST cancer



---

RS1801131, Fasrs2234767, PHBrS6917, microRNArS3746444, II-8 rs4073, BRCA1rs8176318, APE1rs1760944. Thakkinstain's algorithm was used to obtain the optimal genetic model for the 7 genes. After comparison of FPRP results, the optimal gene APE1rs1760944 was obtained, and the optimal model was codominant model.

#### Contributions of each author:

Author 1 - Ying yuan Wang.  
Email: wyy15596828515@163.com  
Author 2 - Ze chang Chen.  
Author 3 - Lu xin Zhang.  
Author 4 - Shuang yi Chen.

#### Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis:

Two reviewers will independently assess risk of bias based on the following domains from recommendations from the Cochrane handbook: 1. Adequate sequence generation; 2. Allocation concealment; 3. Blinding; 4. Incomplete outcome data and how it was addressed; 5. Selective reporting of the outcome.

**Strategy of data synthesis:** (1)whether to describe genotyping methods; (2)Whether to describe the population stratification method; (3)Whether to describe genotype inference method; (4) Whether the genotype distribution of the control group conforms to HWE; (5)Whether to emphasize the repeatability of research; (6)Whether to describe the inclusion and exclusion criteria and matching methods for the research objects; (7)Whether the statistical method and software version are explained; (8)Correlation judgment method; (9) Whether the data is sufficient.

**Subgroup analysis:** Due to the lack of data and the lack of repeatability, it is impossible to carry out further subgroup analysis of the data.

**Sensitivity analysis:** The exclusion of low-quality studies, the use of different statistical methods or models to analyze the same data after low sensitivity, exclusion did not have a significant impact on the results.

**Country(ies) involved:** China.

**Keywords:** Breast cancer, single nucleotide polymorphisms, network meta-analysis, FPRP.