meta-analysis

Li, P⁸.

type.

project.

INPLASY202210065).

INPLASY PROTOCOL

To cite: Yuan et al. The effectiveness of acupoint herbal patching for constipation after stroke: a protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis. Inplasy protocol 202210065. doi: 10.37766/inplasy2022.1.0065

Received: 13 January 2022

Published: 13 January 2022

Corresponding author: Ping Li

lp452664199@163.com

Author Affiliation:

Changchun University of Chinese Medicine

Support: National Natural Science Found.

Review Stage at time of this submission: Preliminary searches.

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

INTRODUCTION

Review question / Objective: Observed the efficacy of acupoint application or the combination of acupoint application and other intervention measures compared with no acupoint application or other single methods in the treatment of constipation after stroke, we aim to the efficacy of

acupoint application to guide clinical practice.The type of studies are randomized controlled trials (RCTs).All eligible trials will be included regardless of language and publication type.

Condition being studied: The educational background, age and professional structure of the project team are reasonable. The members are young and middle-aged talents with rich clinical and scientific research experience. The personnel composition can meet the requirements of completing the project.

METHODS

Participant or population: Participants must have a diagnosis of constipation after stroke ,constipation needs to be consistent with ROME II or III or IV.without limitations related to gender, age, race, study area, and education status.

Intervention: Patients received acupoint herbal patching or combined with another intervention, regardless of herbal regimen, acupoints selected, patchingtime.

Comparator: Patients received no treatment or another intervention.

Study designs to be included: Randomized controlled trials (RCTs).

Eligibility criteria: Inclusion criteria were: (1)participants who had constipation after stroke; (2)acupoint herbal patchingas the intervention; (3)randomized controlled trials (RCT); (4)clinical effective rate. Exclusion criteria were: (1)studies comparing the application, frequency or duration of different acupoints; (2)conference abstracts without a full published article; (3)duplicated data or data that cannot be extracted.

Information sources: We will search articles in 8 electronic database including the **Cochrane Central Register of Controlled** Trials, PubMed, Embase, the Web of Science, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, the Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, Wanfang Database and the Chinese Scientific Journal Database from their inception to November 1,2021.The search string will be built as follows: (constipation after stroke OR apoplexia OR stroke) AND (constipation OR difficult defecation) AND (acupoint appliaction OR acupoint sticker). Reference list of all selected articles will independently screened to identify

additional studies left out in the initial search. Any disagreement will be resolved by discussion until consensus is reached or by consulting a third researcher(ZhD).We will also contact the original authors of papers via email or telephone if possible.

Main outcome(s): Clinical effective rate.Improvement of clinical symptoms including complete spontaneous bowel movements (CSBMs).

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis: Two review authors (Yuan Y and Gao Y) will independently evaluate each included study and will follow the domain-based evaluation as developed by the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. They will assess the following domains: (1) selection bias (random sequence generation and allocation concealment), (2)performance bias (blinding of participants and personnel), (3) detection bias (blinding of outcome assessment), (4) attrition bias (incomplete outcome data), (5) reporting bias (selective reporting), (6) other bias (such as pre-sample size estimation, early stop of trial). Each domain will be divided into three categories: 'low risk', 'high risk', or 'unclear risk'. We will use the GRADE approach to assess the overall quality of evidence supporting the primary outcomes. The overall quality of the evidence for each outcome will be determined after considering each of these factors and graded as: high, moderate, low, very low.

Strategy of data synthesis: We will analyze the data with Rev Man software (Version 5.4) provided by The Cochrane Collaboration.A meta-analysis using random or fixed effects modelswill be conducted to summarize the data.Continuous data will bepooled and presented as mean differences or standardized meandifference with their 95% CI.Dichotomous data will be pooledand expressed as risk ratio with their 95% CI.We will interpret itusing the following criteria: I2 values of 25% is considered lowlevels of heterogeneity, 50% indicated moderate levels, and 75% indicated high levels. Since low or moderate heterogeneitysuggests little variability among these studies, the data will beanalyzed in a fixed-effects model.When significant heterogeneity occurs among the studies (P < .05, I2 > 50%), a random-effect model will be performed to analyze the data.

Subgroup analysis: Subgroup analysis will be conducted to evaluate the specific influence of intervention type, age, course of disease, treatment duration on pooled results.

Sensitivity analysis: Sensitivity analysis will be performed to examine therobustness of the results by eliminating low quality trials.

Country(ies) involved: China.

Keywords: constipation after stroke; acupoint herbal patching; difficult defecation after stroke; acupoint sticker; protocol; meta-analysis.

Contributions of each author:

Author 1 - Yue Yuan - Yuan Y had the original idea of this work and draftedthe protocol. Email: yuanyue9210@163.com Author 2 - Ying Gao - Gao Y designed the search strategies. Email: gy02701010@163.com Author 3 - Zihui Ding - Ding Zh drafted the manuscript, reviewed and revised the manuscript. Email: 1317719327@qq.com Author 4 - Ying Qiao - Qiao Y drafted the manuscript, reviewed and revised the manuscript. Email: 2359251713@qq.com Author 5 - Sanpeng Xu - Xu Sp drafted the manuscript. Email: 514414432@gg.com Author 6 - Zhe Tang - Tang Z drafted the manuscript. Email: 1216525274@qq.com Author 7 - Ying Liao - Liao Y drafted the manuscript. Email: 1821258123@gg.com