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Review question / Objective: Participants: Children and Adolescents; Intervention: not applicable (NA); Control: NA; Outcomes: the prevalence of sleep disturbances or data that could generate prevalence of sleep disturbances; and Study: epidemiological surveys.

Condition being studied: The indirect accompaniment of the COVID-19 pandemic such as lockdown, travel restriction and close of the school and online teaching have also influence the lifestyle in children and adolescent(Castagnoli et al., 2020; Mustafa and Selim, 2020). The effect on sleep disturbance in healthy children and adolescent and those with neurobehavioral disorders has been studied and the pooled prevalence of any sleep disturbance in COVID-19 pandemic was 54% (95%CI: 50-57%)(Sharma et al., 2021). However, this meta-analysis only included three original studies. Hence, the aim of this meta analysis was conducted a meta-analysis of the global prevalence of clinically elevated symptoms of sleep disturbance in child and adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic.

INPLASY registration number: This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 27 September 2021 and was last updated on 27 September 2021 (registration number INPLASY202190098).
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METHODS

Search strategy: Two investigators (HC and PC) independently searched the literature in PubMed, PsycINFO, Web of Science and two Chinese database (Chinese Nation knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) and WAFANG) from their 01 January 2020 until 20 September 2021, using the following terms: (Sleep Initiation and Maintenance Disorders [MeSH Terms] OR sleep disturbance OR insomnia OR sleep problem OR sleep disorder OR sleep symptom OR sleep*) AND (adolescent [MeSH Terms] OR child OR children OR preschool OR pediatrics OR infants OR toddlers) AND (2019-ncov* OR 2019ncov* OR 2019n-cov* OR coronaviru* OR corona virus* OR covid OR covid-19 OR covid19* OR novel cov* OR ncov* OR covid-2019 OR covid2019 OR SARS-COV2* OR SARS COV-2* OR SARS COV2* OR SARS COV19 OR SARS COV-19 OR SARS-COV19 OR SARS COV 2019 OR SARS COV-2019 OR severe acute respiratory syndrome or severe acute respiratory disease) AND (epidemiology OR prevalence OR rate). Two investigators (HC and PC) independently screened the titles and abstracts, and then read the full texts of relevant papers for eligibility. Moreover, reference lists of relevant reviews were checked manually to identify potentially missing studies.

Main outcome(s): The prevalence of sleep disturbances or data that could generate prevalence of sleep disturbances.

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis: Study quality was assessed using a standardized instrument for epidemiological studies (Boyle, 1998b; Loney, 1998) with 8 items as follows: (1) Target population was defined clearly, (2) Probability sampling or entire population...
surveyed (3) Response rate was equal or greater than 80%, (4) Non-responders clearly described (5) Sample representative of the target population (6) Data collection methods standardized (7) Validated criteria used to measure sleep disturbance (8) Prevalence estimates given with confidence intervals and detailed by subgroups (if applicable). The total score ranges from 0 to 8. Studies with a total score of “7-8” were considered as “high quality”, “4-6” as “moderate quality” and “0-3” as “low quality” (Yang, 2016).

Strategy of data synthesis: The random effects model was used to calculate the pooled prevalence of sleep disturbance with their 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). The heterogeneity between studies was assessed with the I² statistic, and I² > 50% was considered an indication of high heterogeneity (Higgins et al., 2003).

Subgroup analysis: The moderating effects of categorical variables (e.g., symptom report, child and/or adolescent and region was classified by broad WHO regional classification (Africa/Américas/Eastern Mediterranean/ Europe/South East Asia/ Western Pacific) (Chen et al., 2018)) and continuous variables (e.g., percentage of males, mean age and quality evaluation score) were examined using meta-regression analyses, respectively.

Sensitivity analysis: Sensitivity analyses were performed to identify outlying studies by excluding studies one by one. Publication bias was estimated with funnel plots and Egger’s test (Egger et al., 1997). A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (two-tailed).

Country(ies) involved: Not reported.
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