INTRODUCTION

Review question / Objective: The objective of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to merge all western studies comparing LG and OG available in literature in the attempt to increase the statistical power and level of evidence supporting the use of laparoscopic gastrectomy for the treatment of gastric cancer even in low- middle incidence countries.

Condition being studied: Studies reporting a comparison between laparoscopic and open approaches on adult patients undergoing gastrectomy for cancer will be the focus of this review. Studies including hybrid laparoscopic-robotic procedures or comparing robotic to laparoscopic gastrectomy will be excluded.
the focus of this review. Studies including hybrid laparoscopic-robotic procedures or comparing robotic to laparoscopic gastrectomy will be excluded.

METHODS

Search strategy: A systematic review will be accomplished according to the PRISMA statement in order to identify articles comparing laparoscopic and open surgery in the treatment of gastric cancer. The following keywords and/or medical subject heading (MeSH) terms will be used in various combinations: “gastric cancer”, “laparoscopy”, “western”, “west”, “Europe”, “US”, and “USA”. A manual search will also be performed in Google Scholar and in the reference lists of relevant articles to find potential additional studies. The search will be carried out by using English language terms but no restriction will be adopted to exclude any paper neither by language nor by study type.

Participant or population: Adult patients undergoing surgery for gastric cancer.

Intervention: Laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric cancer.

Comparator: Open gastrectomy for gastric cancer.

Study designs to be included: All type.

Eligibility criteria: Only studies reporting a comparison between laparoscopic and open approach on adult patients undergoing gastrectomy for cancer will be considered. At least one per-operative outcome of interest should be reported with or without any follow-up period including overall survival (OS) and/or disease-free survival (DFS).

Information sources: A literature search will be carried out through MEDLINE (PubMed), Embase, WebOfScience, and Scopus from January 1980 to 31 December 2020. A manual search will also be performed in Google Scholar and in the reference lists of relevant articles to find potential additional studies.

Main outcome(s): Operative time, LN harvested, Blood loss, Analgesic requirement, Time to first flatus, Time to oral intake, Overall morbidity, Major complications (Clavien-Dindo III-IV), Length of stay (LOS), Mortality, 3-year overall survival, 5-year overall survival. Categorical variables will be evaluated using the odds ratio (OR) and 95% CI. Continuous variables will be analyzed by the weighted mean difference (WMD) and 95% confidence interval (CI). When variables are reported in the papers as median and range or interquartile range, they will be converted to mean and standard deviation (SD) according to Hozo. Hazard ratios (HRs) will be used to analyze time to event outcomes (OS and DFS). When the HRs and 95% CI are not provided in the studies, two authors (AC and VLV), following well-established methodologies, will extract data from Kaplan-Meier (KM) curves with GraphClick software 3.0 for Mac (Arizona-Software) and will estimate the HRs using online calculator (https://www.gigacalc.com/calculators/hazard-ratio-calculator.php).

Data management: Statistical analysis will be carried out using StataCorp2019 STATA Statistical Software: release 16 (College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC).

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis: The quality of the studies will be estimated by using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale or Jadad’s scale for RCTs when appropriate.

Strategy of data synthesis: Categorical variables will be evaluated using the odds ratio (OR) and 95% CI. Continuous variables will be analyzed by the weighted mean difference (WMD) and 95% confidence interval (CI). When variables are reported in the papers as median and range or interquartile range, they will be converted to mean and standard deviation (SD) according to Hozo. Hazard ratios (HRs) will be used to analyze time to event outcomes (OS and DFS). When the HRs and 95% CI are not provided in the studies, two
authors (AC and VLV), following well-established methodologies, will extract data from Kaplan-Meier (KM) curves with GraphClick software 3.0 for Mac (Arizona-Software) and will estimate the HRs using online calculator (https://www.gigacalculator.com/calculators/hazard-ratio-calculator.php).

Subgroup analysis: Subgroup analyses will be performed considering either the type of resection (Total and sub-total gastrectomy) and 5-year periods.

Sensitivity analysis: Heterogeneity between the studies will be assessed. When I2 value will be higher than 50%, pooled estimates will be obtained using a random effects model. As regards to p value of Q index (chi-square test of heterogeneity) a p <0.10 was considered significant otherwise a conventional level of p<0.05 was accepted as statistically significant. Publication bias assessment will be performed by analyzing funnel plot asymmetry with Egger's test for continuous outcomes and with Harbord's and Peters's test for binary outcome.

Language: None.

Country(ies) involved: Italy.
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