INTRODUCTION

Review question / Objective: In this study, we compared 18 kinds of intervention measures after knee arthroplasty with network meta-analysis, in order to find out an optimal anesthesia method. There are 6 outcome indicators involved, namely visual analogue scale (VAS) score, postoperative complications, morphine consumption, function score, hospital length of stay, and patient satisfaction.

Condition being studied: The included studies are all RCT literature of the past 20 years. The patients studied are all patients after knee arthroplasty, and the quality of the included studies is mostly medium or above. The included literature involves at least one type of anesthesia for peripheral nerve block.
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**METHODS**

**Participant or population:** ASAI-III TKA patients, no race or nationality restrictions.

**Intervention:** A total of 18 interventions were involved, including Placebo, FIB, FNB, cFNB, sFNB, ACB, SNB, ONB, PSOAS, EPI, ITM, LIA, PCA, LB, FNB+SNB, ACB+LIA, FNB+LIA, and PCA+FNB. Each comparison must involve at least one type of nerve block.

**Comparator:** A total of 18 interventions were involved, including Placebo, FIB, FNB, cFNB, sFNB, ACB, SNB, ONB, PSOAS, EPI, ITM, LIA, PCA, LB, FNB+SNB, ACB+LIA, FNB+LIA, and PCA+FNB. Each comparison must involve at least one type of nerve block.

**Study designs to be included:** RCT.

**Eligibility criteria:** (1) ASAI-III TKA patients, no race or nationality restrictions; (2) Randomised controlled trial, irrespective of language; (3) Treatment with at least one of the included 12 nerve blocks (fascia iliaca compartment block (FIB), FNB, cFNB, single femoral nerve block (sFNB), adductor canal block (ACB), sciatic nerve block (SNB), obturator nerve block (ONB), continuous posterior lumbar plexus block (PSOAS), FNB+SNB, ACB+LIA, FNB+LIA, PCA+FNB), plus other interventions, such as placebo, EPI, intrathecal morphine (ITM), LIA, PCA, and liposomal bupivacaine (LB); (4) Complete original literature and outcome measures include complete information on one of the following: VAS score (within 4, 6, 12, 24, and 48 h after surgery), postoperative complications (nausea and/or vomiting, urinary retention, pruritus, and sedation), function score (range of motion [ROM], Timed-Up-and-Go [TUG] test 24 h, and TUG test 48 h), hospital length of stay, morphine consumption (within 24 h and 48 h after surgery), and patient satisfaction; (5) Literature data could be used or converted into binary or continuous variables to represent indicators.

**Information sources:** A search strategy was formulated based on the standards established by the Cochrane Collaboration. Articles were retrieved from PubMed, Embase, The Cochrane Library, and Web of Science databases. Simultaneously, a manual search was conducted, and the articles of interest were included according to the relevant meta-research. Two researchers independently extracted the relevant data in accordance with the unified form of data extraction designed in advance. If there was any disagreement, it was settled as described above. When the data in the article were incomplete, the authors attempted to contact the corresponding author via e-mail, but no reply was received in all cases.

**Main outcome(s):** There are 6 outcome indicators involved, namely, visual analogue scale (VAS) score, postoperative complications, morphine consumption, function score, hospital length of stay, and patient satisfaction. Odds ratio (OR) was used for binary variables and standardised mean difference (SMD) was used for continuous variable. RevMan 5.3 was used to evaluate the quality of the included articles, and a risk of bias plot was generated. STATA 14.0 software was utilised to produce the evidence relationship plot, forest plot, rank probability plot, and funnel plot, and to conduct corresponding statistical analyses. The node-splitting method was used as the consistency test.

**Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis:** RevMan 5.3 was used to evaluate the quality of the included articles, and a risk of bias plot was generated. The 'Cochrane risk bias assessment tool' was used to evaluate the quality, including seven bias items: random sequence generation (selection bias), allocation consideration (selection bias), blinding of participants and person (performance bias), blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias), incomplete outcome data (attachment bias), Each item gives its own judgment,
and finally gets the risk of bias graph and risk of bias summary

**Strategy of data synthesis:** Two researchers independently extracted the relevant data in accordance with the unified form of data extraction designed in advance. If there was any disagreement, it was settled as described above. When the data in the article were incomplete, the authors attempted to contact the corresponding author via e-mail, but no reply was received in all cases. When the standard deviation was missing and since the authors could not be contacted, range or median estimation or the method described in “The Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions” was used for conversion, and the result was estimated using the confidence interval (CI) in accordance with the article by Hou Xiaowen and others.

**Subgroup analysis:** None.

**Sensitivity analysis:** None.

**Language:** English.

**Country(ies) involved:** China.
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