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Review question / Objective: The aim is to compare the relative efficacy and the ranking probabilities of adjuvant therapies in curative resected patients with HCC.
Condition being studied: Curative resected HCC.

Inclusion criteria: The inclusion criteria will be determined after searching.
Exclusion criteria: The exclusion criteria will be determined after searching.

Methods:

Search strategy: We systematically searched (up to November, 2020) PubMed, Embase and Cochrane Library. We also manually searched the relevant systematic reviews for potentially eligible articles. The searches will be refined using the Boolean term “AND” between three parts: “liver...
cancer”, “hepatocellular carcinoma”, “HCC”, “hepatic carcinoma”, “hepatoma”; “adjuvant”, “post-operative”, “postoperative”; “randomized controlled trial”.

**Participant or population:** Patients with HCC who had undergone a curative hepatectomy.

**Intervention:** Adjuvant therapy after hepatectomy.

**Comparator:** Hepatectomy alone.

**Study designs to be included:** Randomized controlled trial.

**Eligibility criteria:** (1) randomized controlled trials; (2) patients with HCC who had undergone a curative hepatectomy; (3) reported at least one clinical outcome of interest including recurrence or overall survival (OS).

**Information sources:** We will systematically searched (up to November, 2020) PubMed, Embase and Cochrane Library. We will also manually searched the relevant systematic reviews for potentially eligible articles.

**Main outcome(s):** Recurrence.

**Additional outcome(s):** Overall survival.

**Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis:** Seven items specifically developed from the Cochrane risk of bias tools were used by two reviewers (Ying Liu; Yuzhu Wang) to assess the quality of the eligible studies. Any discrepancies in data extraction and quality assessment were resolved by discussion in the whole study groups.

**Strategy of data synthesis:** First, traditional pairwise meta-analysis that directly compared interventions with observation were performed. The statistical heterogeneity in each pairwise comparison was evaluated using I2 statistic with p values. A fixed-effect models were employed, if I2 <50%, otherwise a random-effect models was used. Secondly, we used Stata (version 15.0) to generate the network meta diagram, in which nodes and edges revealed the head-to-head comparisons among interventions. Thirdly, the NMA was conducted in the Bayesian framework using a Markov Chain Monte Carlo technique in OpenBUGS (version 3.2.3).

**Subgroup analysis:** None.

**Sensibility analysis:** Sensibility analysis will be conducted to check the robustness and reliability of pooled outcome results.

**Country(ies) involved:** China.
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