

INPLASY PROTOCOL

To cite: Wu et al. Diagnostic Value of Endobronchial Ultrasound Elastography for Differentiating Benign and Malignant Hilar and Mediastinal Lymph Nodes: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Inplasy protocol 2020110117. doi: 10.37766/inplasy2020.11.0117

Received: 27 November 2020

Published: 27 November 2020

Corresponding author:
Jiangfeng Wu

wjfhospital@163.com

Author Affiliation:
Department of Ultrasound,
The Affiliated Dongyang
Hospital of Wenzhou Medical
University, Dongyang 322100,
China

Support: None.

Review Stage at time of this submission: Data analysis.

Conflicts of interest:
None.

Diagnostic Value of Endobronchial Ultrasound Elastography for Differentiating Benign and Malignant Hilar and Mediastinal Lymph Nodes: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Wu, F¹; Sun, Y²; Wang, Y³; Ge, L⁴; Jin, Y⁵; Wang, Z⁶.

Review question / Objective: In the present study, a meta-analysis was performed to evaluate the diagnostic value of endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS) elastography for differentiating benign and malignant hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes (LNs).

Condition being studied: As stated above, whether endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS) elastography can be considered as a valuable scanning modality is still a controversial issue. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis to assess the diagnostic performance of endobronchial ultrasound elastography in the noninvasive discrimination between benign and malignant hilar and mediastinal LNs during endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA).

INPLASY registration number: This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 27 November 2020 and was last updated on 27 November 2020 (registration number INPLASY2020110117).

INTRODUCTION

Review question / Objective: In the present study, a meta-analysis was performed to evaluate the diagnostic value of endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS)

elastography for differentiating benign and malignant hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes (LNs).

Condition being studied: As stated above, whether endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS)

elastography can be considered as a valuable scanning modality is still a controversial issue. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis to assess the diagnostic performance of endobronchial ultrasound elastography in the noninvasive discrimination between benign and malignant hilar and mediastinal LNs during endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle aspiration (EBUS-TBNA).

METHODS

Participant or population: Patients with enlarged hilar and mediastinal LNs who would undergo EBUS-TBNA.

Intervention: Endobronchial ultrasound elastography.

Comparator: Pathology.

Study designs to be included: Diagnostic studies were included.

Eligibility criteria: The inclusion criteria were as follows: (a) Diagnostic studies were included; (b) Studies evaluating the diagnostic accuracy of EBUS elastography in distinguishing malignant and benign hilar and mediastinal LNs were included; (c) A reference standard was adopted to confirm malignant intrathoracic LNs, such as cytology obtained by EBUS-FNA or other method, histology of surgical resection, or more than 6 months of follow-up; (4) If several diagnostic methods were used in a study, only the best result was chosen.

Information sources: Pubmed, EMBASE and Cochrane Library.

Main outcome(s): Sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio, and area under the curve.

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis: The methodological quality of each included study was assessed by the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies 2 tool.

Strategy of data synthesis: This meta-analysis was performed by StataSE 15 (Stata Corporation, College Station, Texas). All statistical analyses were performed by one author, who has experience in performing meta-analysis. The pooled estimates of sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio (PLR), negative likelihood ratio (NLR) and diagnostic odds ratio (DOR) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated by a bivariate random effect model.

Subgroup analysis: Subgroup analysis was performed according to variables like study design, year published, reference standard, diagnostic method, and sampling.

Sensibility analysis: A sensibility analysis was performed to assess the influence of any one study on the pooled sensitivity and specificity, by omitting one individual study at one time.

Country(ies) involved: China.

Keywords: Endobronchial ultrasound; Elastography; Hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes; Diagnosis; Meta-analysis.

Contributions of each author:

Author 1 - Jiangfeng Wu.

Author 2 - Yue Sun.

Author 3 - Yunlai Wang.

Author 4 - Lijing Ge.

Author 5 - Yun Jin.

Author 6 - Zhengping Wang.