

INPLASY PROTOCOL

To cite: Neelakant et al.
Protocol for an updated
systematic review and meta-
analysis of prevalence of
cognitive impairment in COPD
patients. Inplasy protocol
202070120. doi:
10.37766/inplasy2020.7.0120

Received: 27 July 2020

Published: 28 July 2020

Corresponding author:
Hoong Wei Tan

HoongWeiTan@rcsi.com

Author Affiliation:
Department of Health
Psychology, Royal College of
Surgeons in Ireland (RCSI).

Support: None.

**Review Stage at time of this
submission:** Preliminary
searches.

Conflicts of interest:
None.

Protocol for an updated systematic review and meta-analysis of prevalence of cognitive impairment in COPD patients

Neelakant, T¹; Tan, HW²; Blackburne, M³; Doyle, F⁴.

Review question / Objective: What is the prevalence of
cognitive impairment in COPD patients?

Condition being studied: Prevalence of cognitive impairment
in COPD patients will be studied. Cognitive impairment is
defined as scoring above threshold for impairment, as
assessed via standardised tests such as Mini Mental State
exam or similar questionnaires.

Information sources: Electronic databases. If data is missing
authors will be contacted.

INPLASY registration number: This protocol was registered with
the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and
Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 28 July 2020 and was last
updated on 28 July 2020 (registration number
INPLASY202070120).

INTRODUCTION

Review question / Objective: What is the
prevalence of cognitive impairment in
COPD patients?

Rationale: While a previous systematic
review explored the prevalence of cognitive
impairment in COPD (Torres-Sanchez et
al.), it was flawed in that the search
strategy was not comprehensive and the
authors did not conduct a meta-analysis.

We therefore aim to fill this gap in the literature by addressing these limitations.

Condition being studied: Prevalence of cognitive impairment in COPD patients will be studied. Cognitive impairment is defined as scoring above threshold for impairment, as assessed via standardised tests such as Mini Mental State exam or similar questionnaires.

METHODS

Search strategy: Medline, SCOPUS, CINAHL and PsychInfo.

Participant or population: People with COPD, who have been assessed with a standardised measure of cognitive impairment.

Intervention: None.

Comparator: Not applicable.

Study designs to be included: Observational studies.

Eligibility criteria: Only patients with COPD are included. Exclusion criteria are asthma and other pulmonary diseases.

Information sources: Electronic databases. If data is missing authors will be contacted.

Main outcome(s): Prevalence of cognitive impairment, as per standardised assessments (using recommended thresholds).

Additional outcome(s): None.

Data management: EndNote X9.

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis: Two reviewers will independently appraise the obtained studies using the Joanna-Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal Tool. Discrepancies will be discussed with a third reviewer.

Strategy of data synthesis: Meta-analysis will be conducted using the metaprop command in Stata version 15. Prevalence

of any cognitive impairment will be calculated as the primary analysis.

Subgroup analysis: Subgroup analysis will explore different cognitive impairments, such as perception, attention, memory and learning, executive function and abstract thinking, language, intelligence and general/global.

Sensibility analysis: We will consider sensitivity analyses depending on the cognitive assessment tool.

Language: English articles only will be considered.

Country(ies) involved: Ireland, Canada, Malaysia.

Keywords: Cognitive impairment, COPD, prevalence, meta-analysis.

Dissemination plans: The findings will be submitted to a peer reviewed journal and presented at conferences.

Contributions of each author:

Author 1 - Trisha Neelakant - Searches, manuscript drafting, data extraction.

Author 2 - Hoong Wei Tan - Searches, manuscript drafting, quality appraisal, data extraction.

Author 3 - Megan Blackburne - Quality appraisal, manuscript drafting, data extraction.

Author 4 - Frank Doyle - Conception, manuscript drafting, analysis.