

INPLASY PROTOCOL

To cite: Chen et al. PED Versus MEDL for the Treatment of Lumbar Spinal Stenosis : Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis. Inplasy protocol 202040188. doi: 10.37766/inplasy2020.4.0188

Received: 26 April 2020

Published: 26 April 2020

Corresponding author:
Chen Xin

609131443@qq.com

Author Affiliation:
The first affiliated Hospital of Xiamen University

Support: No

Review Stage at time of this submission: Formal screening of search results against eligibility criteria.

Conflicts of interest:
The authors declare that the article content was composed in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

INTRODUCTION

Review question / Objective: To compare the efficacy and safety of percutaneous endoscopic decompression (PED) and traditional micro endoscopic

PED Versus MEDL for the Treatment of Lumbar Spinal Stenosis : Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis

Chen, X; Wang, ZT².

Review question / Objective: To compare the efficacy and safety of percutaneous endoscopic decompression (PED) and traditional micro endoscopic decompression laminectomy (MEDL) in the treatment of lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS).

Condition being studied: Lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS) is a degenerative condition in which changes in the discs, ligamentum flavum, and facet joints with aging cause narrowing of the spaces around the neurovascular structures of the spine.

Information sources: Retrieval of PubMed, Web of Science, The Cochrane Library, Embase, Chinese journal full-text database, viper database and wanfang database by computer.

INPLASY registration number: This protocol was registered with the International Platform of Registered Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (INPLASY) on 26 April 2020 and was last updated on 26 April 2020 (registration number INPLASY202040188).

decompression laminectomy (MEDL) in the treatment of lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS).

Condition being studied: Lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS) is a degenerative condition in which changes in the discs, ligamentum

flavum, and facet joints with aging cause narrowing of the spaces around the neurovascular structures of the spine.

METHODS

Participant or population: Patients who were clinically diagnosed with lumbar spinal stenosis and required surgical treatment, and had not received any surgical intervention before that; There is no limitation on age, sex, race or nationality.

Intervention: Percutaneous endoscopic decompression (PED).

Comparator: Micro endoscopic decompression (MEDL).

Study designs to be included: Randomized controlled trial, case-control trial.

Eligibility criteria: This meta-analysis was carried out in reference to the Cochrane Library's official collaboration.

Information sources: Retrieval of PubMed, Web of Science, The Cochrane Library, Embase, Chinese journal full-text database, viper database and wanfang database by computer.

Main outcome(s): A total of 8 references were included in this study, including 4 randomized controlled studies and 4 non-randomized controlled studies. A total of 804 patients were involved in the study, and 714 patients were obtained at the last follow-up, with a follow-up rate of 88.8%.

Quality assessment / Risk of bias analysis: The quality of 4 randomized controlled studies was evaluated according to the Cochrane collaboration network "risk of bias evaluation criteria", and the quality of 4 non-randomized case control studies was evaluated according to the Cochrane collaboration "newcastal-ottawa Scale (NOS)" evaluation criteria.

Strategy of data synthesis: This meta-analysis used the Review Manager 5.3 software provided by the Cochrane collaboration for statistical analysis.

Subgroup analysis: Different follow-up time and different disease types may be divided into different subgroups for analysis.

Sensibility analysis: The sensitivity analysis will mainly adopt the method of "literature culling one by one".

Country(ies) involved: China, South Korea, Germany.

Keywords: lumbar spinal stenosis; minimally invasive; spinal endoscopy; Discectomy; Meta-analysis.

Contributions of each author:

Author 1 - Chen Xin - Author 1 drafted the manuscript.

Author 2 - Wang Zhongtang - The author provided statistical expertise.